

3-26-1992

SR-91-92-99(APC)

Marshall University

Follow this and additional works at: [http://mds.marshall.edu/fs\\_recommendations](http://mds.marshall.edu/fs_recommendations)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Marshall University, "SR-91-92-99(APC)" (1992). *Recommendations*. 1336.  
[http://mds.marshall.edu/fs\\_recommendations/1336](http://mds.marshall.edu/fs_recommendations/1336)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at Marshall Digital Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Recommendations by an authorized administrator of Marshall Digital Scholar. For more information, please contact [zhangj@marshall.edu](mailto:zhangj@marshall.edu), [martj@marshall.edu](mailto:martj@marshall.edu).

**ACADEMIC PLANNING COMMITTEE**  
Recommendation

## **SR-91-92-99(APC)**

That the role of the Academic Planning Committee in the program review process be as follows:

The Academic Planning Committee reviews each program and determines how the degree program, both undergraduate and graduate, fits into the mission of Marshall University and makes specific recommendations for further action. This level of review is not a critique of the report preparation, but a review of the program and how it fits into the long range planning of the university.

The Chair of the Academic Planning Committee will form a subcommittee at the first meeting of the academic year to deal with all program reviews for that year. After the review, the recommendations for the programs are sent to the Academic Affairs office.

### **ASSUMPTIONS:**

1. It is the responsibility of the college curriculum committees to:
  - (a) verify that the department addresses the areas of adequacy, viability, necessity of the program, and consistency with the Mission of Marshall University, and
  - (b) assures that the review adheres to the forms set forth by the BOT in Policy Bulletin #11.
2. It is the responsibility of the Academic Planning Committee to:
  - (a) judge how the program under review fits into the university's mission,
  - (b) make a specific recommendation for each program under review to be sent to Academic Affairs. These recommendations can be one of the following: to continue at the current level, to continue at a reduced level of funding, to be further developed, to be discontinued.
  - (c) recommend to the President which program(s) be considered for further development/increased funding through internal reallocation.
3. If a program is recommended to be discontinued anywhere in the process, results of a study of how this action would affect other colleges should accompany this recommendation.
4. The Assessment Committee will work with the academic deans and departments in developing a graduate follow-up process.

**SR-91-92-99(APC)**

