

2-13-1991

SR-90-91-(52)205 (AC)

Marshall University

Follow this and additional works at: http://mds.marshall.edu/fs_recommendations

Recommended Citation

Marshall University, "SR-90-91-(52)205 (AC)" (1991). *Recommendations*. 1421.
http://mds.marshall.edu/fs_recommendations/1421

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at Marshall Digital Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Recommendations by an authorized administrator of Marshall Digital Scholar. For more information, please contact zhangj@marshall.edu, martj@marshall.edu.

ATHLETIC COMMITTEE
Recommendation

SR-90-91-(52)205 (AC)

That the early registration privilege be continued for student athletes.

RATIONALE:

- 1) Satisfactory progress: Each student athlete must meet the NCAA and Marshall stipulations for continuing eligibility which dictate that he/she must annually complete 24 hours in his/her major. This requirement is particularly difficult for freshmen and sophomores who are last to register.
- 2) Schedule constraints: Student athletes find it more difficult than do other students to find courses that do not conflict with their other commitments. For example, there are not enough sports facilities at Marshall for all the students who need them at all times. For that reason, student athletes are scheduled for practice at times when HPER is not using the facilities.
- 3) Travel constraints: During playing season, a well-planned course schedule can assure that she/he miss fewer classes, and this is possible when she/he is permitted to register early.
- 4) Limited impact: Since student athletes make up less than 1% of the student body (350 out of 12,500), this privilege places almost no burden on other students.
- 5) Precedent: It is Marshall's policy that the closer that a student is to graduation, the earlier she/he can register. This policy assists students in making satisfactory progress, and should not be denied to student athletes who have more responsibilities and constraints than do typical students.

FACULTY SENATE PRESIDENT:

* see "comments"

APPROVED BY SENATE: _____ DATE: _____

DISAPPROVED BY SENATE: _____ DATE: _____

SR-90-91-(52)205 (AC)

UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT:

READ: Alan B. Fuld DATE: 2/13/91

COMMENTS:

Recommendation died for lack
of a motion to approve; recommendation
was in contradiction to SR 90-91-
(53) 206 (SCW) which the Faculty
Senate passed.

Kathryn Reizik
1/31/91