

Marshall University

Marshall Digital Scholar

League of Women Voters of the Huntington
Area Bulletin 1960-1969

League of Women Voters of the Huntington
Area Bulletin

2-1963

League of Women Voters of the Huntington Area Bulletin, February, 1963

League of Women Voters of the Huntington Area

Follow this and additional works at: https://mds.marshall.edu/lowv_newsletters_1960_1969

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS

Huntington, West Virginia
February, 1963

Mrs. Elmer R. Mook, President
Mrs. Rodney G. Schroeder, Vice President

RESERVE THESE DATES

Wednesday, February 20, 8 P. M.
Jr. League Club House
STUDY OF THE PROPOSED CHARTER

Wednesday, February 27, 8 P. M.
Jr. League Club House
MARSHALL DEBATE TEAM--National FEP Item

Wednesday, March 20, 8 P. M.
Jr. League Club House
ANNUAL MEETING-Election, Program, Reports

As provided by the By-Laws, reports from the Nominating, Budget and By-Laws committees and recommendations for the Program for the coming year are included in this bulletin. Members are urged to give these items serious consideration before the Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors recommends the following for the local Program, 1963-64:
Current Agenda: Study of election laws and procedures with emphasis on local application.

Continuing Responsibilities:

1. Redistricting of precincts and improved election procedures.
2. Continued support of Council-Manager form of government.
3. Support of comprehensive planning programs.

BY-LAWS:

No changes recommended at this time.
Mrs. Wylie Dunfee, Chm.
Mrs. Hite W. Compton

The Nominating Committee presents the following report:

2 year terms - 1963-65

President Mrs. Rodney G. Schroeder
1st V. Pres. Mrs. Robert Matthews
Secretary Mrs. David Thompson
Directors: Mrs. William Dickinson
Mrs. Mahlon Brown
Mrs. James Deveny

Nominating Committee, 1964

Mrs. Elmer R. Mook
Mrs. Mary Daniel
Mrs. Henryetta Hager

Nominating Committee:

Mrs. E. B. Curry, Chm.
Mrs. Walter Lewis, Jr.
Mrs. Gerald R. Phillips

Mrs. Robert Matthews

BUDGET for League Year beginning April 1, 1963 through March 31, 1964:

INCOME

Dues ... 85 members	\$ 425.00	
(increase of 15)		
Contributions	<u>748.00</u>	\$1173.00

EXPENSES

Operating Expenditures

Equipment and Supplies	25.00
Postage	15.00
Telephone & Telegrams	5.00
President's Fund	15.00
Delegates	200.00
Meetings	30.00
Publications	55.00
Organization Dues	3.00
Bulletins	130.00
<u>Action</u>	
State Pledge	400.00
Voters Service	150.00
Local Program	125.00
State Program	10.00
National Program	<u>10.00</u>

\$1173.00

Budget Committee:

Mrs. E. Henry Broh, Chm.
Mrs. James Deveny
Mrs. John B. Davis

Reapportionment - Call to Action

In response to a Call-to-Action by the State League letters were sent to Cabell members of the House of Delegates stating our support of the principle of equal representation and urging its application to the question of Reapportionment. All Cabell delegates except T. E. Holderby upheld this position. Mr. Holderby's change of vote was instrumental in the acceptance of the Minority Report from the Redistricting Committee and subsequent passage of the bill giving every county a delegate regardless of population. Senator C. H. McKown is a member of the Senate Com. presently considering the Com. Subst. for House Bill No. 6. In reply he has stated it may be amended and sent to a conference committee where it is hoped a solution will be worked out. Members are urged to write Senators C. H. McKown and Lyle Smith asking their support of a reapportionment bill establishing delegate districts in conformity with Article VI of the Constitution of West Virginia.

DO YOU KNOW???

The difference between 7-up and outer-7?
What makes our GNP (Great Notty Problem)?
The role of the chicken in American foreign policy?

About Neddy?

What is this thing called growth?

The importance of 5:29 a.m., Jan. 14, '62
Whether COMECON is a way to get people to join the League?

If you don't, come and do some learning at our meeting Wednesday, February 27, 8 p.m. at the Junior League Center.

We will have an unusual program. Two top members of the Marshall University Debate squad - Aubrey King (Jaeger senior) and Tom Dunfee (Huntington senior) - will present a debate on some facet of the 1962-63 Intercollegiate Debate Topic, Resolved: that the non-communist nations of the world should establish an economic community. It is a privilege such a resource as this available in our community. We are happy to make use of it.

FOREIGN ECONOMIC POLICY

To remind, our National FEP Item reads: "Continued support of U. S. policies to promote world economic development and evaluation of opportunities to expand regional cooperation" or, as paraphrased in the Leaders' Guide, Building Stepping Stones to Economic Growth.

You will note that this Item, as well as the UN Item, contains the words "continued support" and "evaluation". As stated in the National Board Report, May, 1962, "continued support means that League positions are preserved" and "evaluation" means appraisal of new as well as familiar issues.

Early in the 60s the economic consequences of building regional stepping stones to economic unity are dramatically impressive. The political consequences are already proving equally impressive, e.g., De Gaulle and Britain. The 70s may well be the decade for building stepping stones to political

unity - a unity which may jump oceans or embrace continents and hemispheres.

The Common Market has outrun its own timetable - with tariffs cut in half two and a half years ahead of schedule. The rate of economic growth of its six member nations is phenomenal - only in Japan and the USSR can this growth be matched.

The Common Market is also the source of ferment over great issues of public policy. The world "outside" anxiously awaits decisions about the manner in which the CM will receive goods from the outside. Other nations are negotiating membership. The Communist world protests the CM. The U.S. changes its trade policy in order to cope with the CM and seeks "partnership" as part of a 'grand design' to spur its own progress and growth.

The contagious effects of Europe's moves toward economic integration can be seen elsewhere - in South America with its free trade association, in Central America, North Africa and Asia. But economic integration in the developing world is a thing apart from that in the developed world - the motives and needs are different, the likelihood that efforts may be marred by failures is greater.

The economic regionalism in the Communist world is totally different - an economic market dominated by the USSR and encompassing the satellite nations of Eastern Europe. The Communist brand of a cm bears little resemblance to the voluntary moves toward economic integration in the West.

Thus - the framework for the work we must do. Suggested are such areas as The European Community and Atlantic Partnership, The Pursuit of Economic Growth, The American Farmer and the CM, The Trade Plight of Latin America and Africa, and the Communist Bloc and World Trade.

Our meeting next week, by being general in its treatment, should serve as a splendid kickoff for our continuing study. Do come and bring friends.