

11-2015

Primary Care Fall Risk Assessment For Elderly West Virginians

Vivian M. Minkemeyer

Marshall University, minkemeyer@marshall.edu

Matt Meriweather

Marshall University, meriweather@marshall.edu

Franklin D. Shuler

Marshall University, shulerf@marshall.edu

Saurabh P. Mehta

Marshall University, mehtas@marshall.edu

Zain N. Qazi

Marshall University

Follow this and additional works at: http://mds.marshall.edu/sm_orthopaedics



Part of the [Movement and Mind-Body Therapies Commons](#), and the [Physical Therapy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Minkemeyer VM, Meriweather M, Shuler FD, Mehta SP, Qazi ZN. Primary care fall risk assessment for elderly West Virginians. *West Virginia Medical Journal* 111.6 (2015): 18-23.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Research at Marshall Digital Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Orthopaedics by an authorized administrator of Marshall Digital Scholar. For more information, please contact zhangj@marshall.edu.

Primary Care Fall Risk Assessment for Elderly West Virginians

Vivian M. Minkemeyer, MSIII

Medical Student, Joan C. Edwards School of Medicine, Marshall University

Matt Meriweather, MSIII

Medical Student, Joan C. Edwards School of Medicine, Marshall University

Franklin D. Shuler, MD, PhD

Professor, Orthopaedic Trauma
Vice Chairman, Orthopaedic Research
Medical Director, Senior Fracture Program,
Marshall University, Department of
Orthopaedic Surgery

Saurabh P. Mehta, PT, PhD

Assistant Professor, School of Physical Therapy
Marshall University

Zain N. Qazi, MD

Orthopaedic Research Fellow
Marshall University

Corresponding Author: Vivian M. Minkemeyer, Marshall University, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, 1600 Medical Center Drive, Suite G-500, Huntington, WV 25701. E-mail:minkemeyer@marshall.edu

Abstract

West Virginia is ranked second nationally for the percent of its population ≥ 65 years of age. The elderly are especially susceptible to falls with fall risk increasing as age increases. Because falls are the number one cause of injury-related morbidity and mortality in the West Virginia elderly, evaluation of fall risk is a critical component of the patient evaluation in the primary care setting. We therefore highlight fall risk assessments that require no specialized equipment or training and can easily be completed at an established office visit. High quality clinical practice guidelines supported by the American Geriatric Society recommend yearly fall risk evaluation in the elderly. Those seniors at greatest risk of falls will benefit from the standardized therapy protocols outlined and referral to a balance treatment center. Patients with low-to-moderate fall risk attributed to muscle weakness or fatigue should be prescribed lower extremity strengthening exercises, such as kitchen counter exercises, to improve strength and balance.

Introduction

In the state of West Virginia, falls are the number one cause of injury for persons over the age of 65.¹ Per annum, 30% of those over 65 will experience a fall, and up to 50% of those over 85 will experience a fall.² It is therefore concerning that our population is old and getting older. Currently 16.0% of West Virginia's population is 65 and older; second highest in the country (Florida is first with 17.3% ≥ 65 years of age).³ Additionally our population over the age of 65 is expanding, with the number of people over the age of 85 increasing at an even faster rate.³ This data indicates that falls are an escalating health threat for our state. As the fall risk increases, so do the healthcare expenditures for the resultant injury related morbidity and mortality. The national cost attributed to fall-related injuries in seniors was a staggering \$12.6 billion in 2005 and over \$30 billion in direct medical costs in 2010.^{4,5} The one year mortality among the elderly over the age of 60, after hospitalization due to a fall-related fracture, is 25%.⁶ Given the increased risk of morbidity and mortality, as well as the costs to society following a fall, the need for targeted screening and intervention for this population is paramount.⁶ Muscle weakness is the most prominent modifiable risk factor for falling.^{2,7-9} The mechanism of neuromuscular activation declines in seniors and produces decreased production of power and reduced mobility.¹⁰⁻¹³ The senior therefore experiences easy fatigue of lower extremity muscles during mobility tasks which poses challenges with locomotion due to balance and gait impairments.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Perceived difficulties with locomotor activities discourage the elderly individual from participating in these activities, worsening neuromuscular activation and muscle function.¹⁷ This cyclical

process can lead to irreversible changes creating a significant risk for falling and sustaining injuries that can further worsen their overall health status.¹⁸ Since lower extremity weakness is a statistically significant risk factor for falls, screening for such weakness becomes an instrumental tool in breaking the establishment of this negative feedback loop.¹⁴ Screening for, and identifying, fall risk is important because many interventions have a positive effect on increasing balance and reducing fall and injury risk.^{7,19-26} This paper provides evidence-based strategies to screen more people in the risk assessment process.²⁷ Thus, finding a simple, reliable, and efficient fall risk assessment tool for West Virginia healthcare providers is critical for identifying and treating those elderly who are at greatest risk for falls.

Patients over the age of 65 should be assessed yearly for fall risk.²⁸ The initial fall risk assessment should include three questions to determine previous fall history: (1) Has the patient had two or more falls in the past 12 months? (2) Have any acute falls required an emergency room visit? and (3) Has the patient had any difficulty with walking or balance?¹ The strongest predictors for falls were a prior history of falls, poor vision, anxiety, nervousness or fear of falling, and antipsychotic medication use.²⁹ Therefore, inquiry concerning other fall risks (i.e. medications, vision, heart rate and rhythm) should be considered prior to asking a patient to perform a fall risk assessment test.³⁰ The guidelines from the American and British Geriatric Societies describe four of the most widely accepted fall risk assessment tests that can be used to evaluate gait and balance: the Timed Up and Go Test, Get Up and Go Test, the Berg Balance

Table 1. American and British Geriatric Society recommend fall risk assessment tests

Scales	Advantages	Disadvantages
Timed Up and Go ³²	-Less than 1 minute to perform -Minimal resources needed -High inter-rater/test-retest reliability ^{35,42} -High specificity -Can stratify scores into risk categories	-Only one task is evaluated -No identification of type of balance problem
Get Up and Go ³¹	-Can identify type of balance or gait issue	-15 minutes to perform -Requires special tools to perform test
Berg Balance Scale ³³	-High inter- and intra- rater reliability -Good specificity ⁴²	-15 minutes to perform -Poor sensitivity ⁴² -No identification of type of balance problem
Performance-Oriented Mobility Assessment (Tinetti Balance and Gait Assessment) ^{2,44}	-High inter-rater reliability -Good sensitivity ⁴² -Can stratify scores into risk categories	-15 minutes to perform -Poor specificity ⁴² -No identification of type of balance problem

Scale, and the Performance-Oriented Mobility Assessment.^{2,31-33}

Fall Risk Assessment Tests

The fall risk assessment test for use in a rural setting depends on several factors: time constraints, required resources, inter- and intra-rater reliability, specificity and sensitivity. The best test would be able to be performed in a short time, combining high inter- and intra-rater reliability with high specificity (proportion of non-fallers correctly classified as low risk) and sensitivity (proportion of fallers correctly classified as high risk).³⁴

Although a discussion is provided for all four fall risk assessment tests, the most feasible test to assess fall risk in a rural West Virginia setting is the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test (**Table I**). The TUG test measures, in seconds, the time it takes a person to stand up from a standard arm chair (seat height ~46cm), walk 3 meters (9ft 10in), turn, walk back to the chair, and return to a sitting position.³⁵ The time starts when the person's back leaves the back of the chair and stops after returning to the initial position. The TUG test may be performed using a walking aid if required. It is recommended to complete two trials of the test and use the best result.³⁵ Older adults that take 14 seconds or more to

perform the TUG test are at a high risk for falling (83-87% prediction rate) with the test having specificity of 96.6% and sensitivity of 83.3% when using a 12.34 second high-risk cutoff score.^{19,36,37} A test score of between 10 and 14 seconds indicates a moderate risk of falling and a score of ≥ 14 seconds or more indicates a high fall risk.³⁶ Measuring the time needed to perform the test in seconds provides an objective score, which eliminates rater scoring bias. As previously stated, the TUG test should be used in conjunction with patient history to improve the predictive ability of identifying those at most risk of falls.³⁴ Additional tests can be added to the patient evaluation if one obtains a positive TUG test; stratification of risk is addressed in the next section.

The Get Up and Go Test requires specialized equipment and assesses mean sway path, gait speed, step length, stride width, stepping frequency, and double support/stride time.³¹ Mean sway path is measured using a Kistler Force Platform with feet apart and eyes open for 30 seconds in the antero-posterior and lateral planes. The other measurements are taken while the subject walks for a short distance. The scoring is dependent upon the scorer's perception. This test is more difficult to perform in

the rural primary care setting due to specialized equipment requirements. However, the Get Up and Go Test may be used to identify specific abnormalities in gait and stature that are responsible for previous falls and can be performed at specialized balance centers for patients with a positive TUG test.³⁸

The Berg Balance Scale (BBS) is considered the gold standard for balance assessment but requires a significant amount of time to complete 14 tasks to generate a performance-based measure of balance and should not be used alone for categorizing fall risk.^{39,40} It can therefore be included in a comprehensive balance assessment following a positive TUG test. However, the BBS does not demand reactive postural control (as tested with TUG), which is impaired in high fall risk patients.^{33,41} The BBS does have a high degree of agreement among raters, reproducible results, and is a quantitative evaluation. However, it has poor sensitivity with the subjective nature of BBS producing variability in the clinical relevance of fall risk.⁴² Of note, the TUG test has a strong correlation with the BBS and safely predicts a person's functional mobility.⁴³

The Performance-Oriented Mobility Assessment test evaluates balance and gait of an older adult.

Balance (nine items) and gait (seven items) are scored using an ordinal scale from zero to two based on the older person's abilities to perform each task.⁴⁴ The assessment requires approximately 15 minutes to perform, has good inter-rater reliability and sensitivity, but has poor specificity.⁴² A person's total gait score can be stratified into low, medium, and high fall risk categories; however, the scoring of each task is subjective to the clinician's perception. Time of administration and subjective versus objective scoring are the biggest limitations to using this test in a rural office setting.

Fall Risk Stratification and Treatment

The TUG test can safely predict the functional mobility of an older person.⁸ Functional mobility is defined as the balance and gait maneuvers used in everyday life.³⁶ Using the TUG test, older persons can be stratified into moderate (between 10 and 14 seconds) and high-risk (≥ 14 seconds) sub-groups. For those individuals scoring ≥ 14 seconds on the TUG test, the risk of fall is so great that a referral to balance treatment center, or a physical therapist specialized in balance deficits, is recommended. Unsupervised home-based exercises should not be the primary treatment modality for this high-risk subgroup. Instead, consider advancement to home-based protocols based on the outcome of supervised individual treatment programs.

For individuals who score in the moderate risk category (TUG score between 10 and 14 seconds), physical therapy programs focusing on lower extremity strengthening and balance retraining decrease fall risk.²⁴ In fact, exercise has been shown to modify fall risk as effectively as multifactorial programs.²⁵ In a rural setting where physical therapy options are limited, simple "kitchen counter" exercises

that improve strength and balance should be considered for people in the moderate risk category (**Figure 1**, <http://bit.ly/ZdhWeU>). "Kitchen counter" exercises should be performed in a standing position while holding onto a sturdy structure, such as a countertop. The following 6 exercises can be performed to strengthen the lower extremities: knee raise, knee bend, kick back, side kick, one-leg stand, and heel raise.^{45,46} Each exercise should be performed on both sides, at a comfortable pace (4 sets of one minute each with one minute rest period between each set), and under the supervision of another person if possible.

Additional Considerations

It is worth noting that our discussion of fall risk and treatment is limited to those fall risk factors related to muscle weakness and fatigue. However, other systems' involvement in the fall risk of a patient (e.g. vestibular, cardiovascular, etc.) should be addressed during the primary care office visit for an elderly patient. Additionally, current data indicates that one of the most cost effective strategies for fall risk reduction is identification and correction of vitamin D deficiency.⁴⁷ Thus, no matter which test or tests are used, fall risk is multifactorial and any treatment program should also address any vision changes, mental illness and medications that may impact fall risk.


As with any test, the TUG test has limitations. A recent meta-analysis indicated that TUG is a more powerful predictor of fall risk for lower-functioning populations (those that would score greater than 10 seconds on the test) versus higher functioning elderly patients.⁴⁸ This does not change the recommendations discussed. Though we believe the TUG test to be the best option for primary care providers in our state, due to its ease of administration and

its power in discrimination among lower-functioning community-dwelling adults, there is currently no widely accepted test for fall risk assessment.^{48,49} Also, numerous sources cite the positive effects of exercise in reducing falls in the elderly, but these effects lessen in individuals with advanced states of instability.⁵⁰ Therefore, the prescribed "kitchen counter" leg strengthening exercises are only applicable to people in the moderate risk category (TUG score between 10 and 14 seconds); those in the high risk category require more supervised care from a health care and/or trained balance professional who will customize the most appropriate treatment protocol focusing on the duration and type of exercise.^{25,49} This approach is supported by a recent meta-analysis of randomized-controlled trials that included 4305 elderly participants where the effect of fall-prevention exercises were assessed.⁵¹ These exercise programs all emphasized balance training and reduced falls that caused injuries by 37%, reduced falls leading to serious injuries by 43%, and reduced broken bones by 61%.

Conclusions

West Virginia has the second highest percentage of population that is ≥ 65 years and falls are the number one cause of injury-related morbidity and death in this population. We describe the TUG test as an easy-to-use means for assessing fall risk in elderly patients during a routine office visit. The TUG test provides a simple way to reliably, specifically, and sensitively determine the likelihood of a fall. A TUG score between 10 and 14 seconds indicates moderate risk for fall, while a score ≥ 14 seconds indicates high fall risk. For patients with high fall risk, referral to a balance treatment center is recommended. Patients with low-to-moderate fall risk attributed to muscle weakness or fatigue should


Figure 1. Exercises to promote balance. A handout for patients of these “kitchen counter” exercises can be obtained at <http://bit.ly/ZdhWeU>.




Marshall Orthopaedics
304-691-1262

For Your Well Being


Knee Raise
Lift knee towards chest and return to standing




Knee Bend
Lift heel towards buttocks, while bending your knee and return to standing.




Kick Back
Keep knee straight and kick leg back as far as possible and return to standing.




Side Kick
Kick leg to the side as far as possible and return to standing



One-Leg Stand
One-Leg Stand: Stand on one leg with support for one minute. Alternate leg each repetition. Rest 1 minute in between each stand.



Heel Raise
Heel raises: Lift yourself onto your toes and return to flat-foot stand and perform for one minute.



Four sets of one minute each with one minute rest period between each set

be prescribed lower extremity strengthening exercises, such as kitchen counter exercises, to improve strength and balance. Yearly assessment of fall risk is recommended for this population.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Guillermo Madero, MD, MHA for critical review of the manuscript as our geriatric content expert from the Hanshaw Geriatric Center at Marshall University. We would also like to thank Shawn Bastin, MPT, MBA for critical review as our balance content expert from the Balance Center at Cabell Huntington Hospital. We would like to thank Matt Crutchfield for graphic support.

References

- Whiteman C, Davidov D, Tadros A, D'Angelo J. Falls and dilemmas in injury prevention in older West Virginians. *WVJM* May-Jun 2012;108(3):14-20.
- Tinetti ME, Speechley M, Ginter SF. Risk factors for falls among elderly persons living in the community. *NEJM* Dec 29 1988;319(26):1701-1707.
- Werner C. The Older Population 2010. 2010 *Census Briefs* 2011.
- Sattin RW. Falls among older persons: a public health perspective. *Annual Review of Public Health*. 1992;13(1):489-508.
- King MB, Tinetti ME. Falls in community-dwelling older persons. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 1995;43(10):1146-1154.
- Coutinho ESF, Bloch KV, Coeli CM. One-year mortality among elderly people after hospitalization due to fall-related fractures: comparison with a control group of matched elderly. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*. 2012;28(4):801-805.
- Moncada LV. Management of falls in older persons: a prescription for prevention. *American Family Physician*. Dec 1 2011;84(11):1267-1276.
- Fabre JM, Ellis R, Kosma M, Wood RH. Falls risk factors and a compendium of falls risk screening instruments. *Journal of Geriatric Physical Therapy* (2001). Oct-Dec 2010;33(4):184-197.
- Almeida ST, Soldera CL, Carli GA, Gomes I, Resende Tde L. Analysis of extrinsic and intrinsic factors that predispose elderly individuals to fall. *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira* (1992). Jul-Aug 2012;58(4):427-433.
- Clark DJ, Manini TM, Fielding RA, Patten C. Neuromuscular determinants of maximum walking speed in well-functioning older adults. *Experimental Gerontology*. Mar 2013;48(3):358-363.
- Clark DJ, Patten C, Reid KF, Carabello RJ, Phillips EM, Fielding RA. Impaired voluntary neuromuscular activation limits muscle power in mobility-limited older adults. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. May 2010;65(5):495-502.
- Clark DJ, Patten C, Reid KF, Carabello RJ, Phillips EM, Fielding RA. Muscle performance and physical function are associated with voluntary rate of neuromuscular activation in older adults. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. Jan 2011;66(1):115-121.
- Iannuzzi-Sucich M, Prestwood KM, Kenny AM. Prevalence of sarcopenia and predictors of skeletal muscle mass in healthy, older men and women. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. Dec 2002;57(12):M772-777.
- Rudolf R, Khan MM, Labeit S, Deschenes MR. Degeneration of Neuromuscular Junction in Age and Dystrophy. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*. 2014;6:99.
- Rosenberg IH. Sarcopenia: origins and clinical relevance. *The Journal of Nutrition*. May 1997;127(5 Suppl):990s-991s.
- Helbostad JL, Sturnieks DL, Menant J, Delbaere K, Lord SR, Pijnappels M. Consequences of lower extremity and trunk muscle fatigue on balance and functional tasks in older people: a systematic literature review. *BMC Geriatrics*. 2010;10:56.
- Degens H, Korhonen MT. Factors contributing to the variability in muscle ageing. *Maturitas*. Nov 2012;73(3):197-201.
- Moreland JD, Richardson JA, Goldsmith CH, Clase CM. Muscle weakness and falls in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. Jul 2004;52(7):1121-1129.
- Zhuang J, Huang L, Wu Y, Zhang Y. The effectiveness of a combined exercise intervention on physical fitness factors related to falls in community-dwelling older adults. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*. 2014;9:131-140.
- Annweiler C, Montero-Odasso M, Schott AM, Berrut G, Fantino B, Beauchet O. Fall prevention and vitamin D in the elderly: an overview of the key role of the non-bone effects. *Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation*. 2010;7:50.
- Chang JT, Morton SC, Rubenstein LZ, et al. Interventions for the prevention of falls in older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*. Mar 20 2004;328(7441):680.
- Costello E, Edelstein JE. Update on falls prevention for community-dwelling older adults: review of single and multifactorial intervention programs. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*. 2008;45(8):1135-1152.
- Kalyani RR, Stein B, Valiylil R, Manno R, Maynard JW, Crews DC. Vitamin D treatment for the prevention of falls in older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. Jul 2010;58(7):1299-1310.
- Rao SS. Prevention of falls in older patients. *American Family Physician*. Jul 1 2005;72(1):81-88.
- Power V, Clifford AM. Characteristics of optimum falls prevention exercise programmes for community-dwelling older adults using the FITT principle. *European Review of Aging and Physical Activity*. 2013;10(2):95-106.
- Hester AL, Wei F. Falls in the community: state of the science. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*. 2013;8:675-679.
- Schrodt LA, Garbe KC, Chaplin R, Busby-Whitehead J, Shubert TE. An effective community-academic partnership to extend the reach of screenings for fall risk. *Preventing Chronic Disease*. 2013;10:E141.



We invite you to join our organization which consists of members who manage the daily business of healthcare providers.

Our objectives are to promote educational opportunities, professional knowledge, and to provide channels of communication to office managers in all areas of healthcare.

For more information visit our website. www.stateoma.com
or contact Pam Shafer, President @ preswvoma@yahoo.com
Stacie Spotloe, VP of Public Relations @
vprstateoma@yahoo.com

28. Summary of the Updated American Geriatrics Society/British Geriatrics Society clinical practice guideline for prevention of falls in older persons. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. Jan 2011;59(1):148-157.

29. Clyburn TA, Heydemann JA. Fall prevention in the elderly: analysis and comprehensive review of methods used in the hospital and in the home. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*. 2011;19(7):402-409.

30. Akyol AD. Falls in the elderly: what can be done? *International Nursing Review*. Jun 2007;54(2):191-196.

31. Mathias S, Nayak US, Isaacs B. Balance in elderly patients: the "get-up and go" test. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. Jun 1986;67(6):387-389.

32. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed "Up & Go": a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. Feb 1991;39(2):142-148.

33. Berg KW-D, Sharon; Williams, J.I.; Gayton, David. Measuring balance in the elderly: preliminary development of an instrument. *Physiotherapy Canada*. November/December 1989 1989;41(6):304-311.

34. Barry E, Galvin R, Keogh C, Horgan F, Fahey T. Is the Timed Up and Go test a useful predictor of risk of falls in community dwelling older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Geriatrics*. 2014;14:14.

35. Bennell K, Dobson F, Hinman R. Measures of physical performance assessments: Self-Paced Walk Test (SPWT), Stair Climb Test (SCT), Six-Minute Walk Test (6MWT), Chair Stand Test (CST), Timed Up & Go (TUG), Sock Test, Lift and Carry Test (LCT), and Car Task. *Arthritis Care & Research*. Nov 2011;63 Suppl 11:S350-370.

36. Shumway-Cook A, Brauer S, Woollacott M. Predicting the probability for falls in community-dwelling older adults using the Timed Up & Go Test. *Physical Therapy*. Sep 2000;80(9):896-903.

37. Lee J, Geller AI, Strasser DC. Analytical review: focus on fall screening assessments. PM & R : *The Journal of Injury, Function, and Rehabilitation*. Jul 2013;5(7):609-621.

38. Soriano TA, DeCherrie LV, Thomas DC. Falls in the community-dwelling older adult: a review for primary-care providers. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*. 2007;2(4):545-554.

39. Neuls PD, Clark TL, Van Heuklon NC, et al. Usefulness of the Berg Balance Scale to predict falls in the elderly. *Journal of Geriatric Physical Therapy* (2001). Jan-Mar 2011;34(1):3-10.

40. Muir SW, Berg K, Chesworth B, Speechley M. Use of the Berg Balance Scale for predicting multiple falls in community-dwelling elderly people: a prospective study. *Physical Therapy*. Apr 2008;88(4):449-459.

41. Pereira VV, Maia RA, Silva SM. The functional assessment Berg Balance Scale is better capable of estimating fall risk in the elderly than the posturographic Balance Stability System. *Arquivos de Neuro-psiquiatria*. Jan 2013;71(1):5-10.

42. Mancini M, Horak FB. The relevance of clinical balance assessment tools to differentiate balance deficits. *European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*. Jun 2010;46(2):239-248.

43. Yelnik A, Bonan I. Clinical tools for assessing balance disorders. *Neurophysiologie Clinique = Clinical Neurophysiology*. Dec 2008;38(6):439-445.

44. Tinetti ME. Performance-oriented assessment of mobility problems in elderly patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. Feb 1986;34(2):119-126.

45. Care AH. Lower Extremity Exercises - Standing. Figure describing kitchen counter exercises. Available at: aurorahealthcare.org/FYWB_pdfs/x24510.pdf. Accessed 6/28/2014.

46. Kathryn Weegar P, MSPT, Clinical Director, Sippican Physical Therapy. Balance & Strength Exercises. [Powerpoint]. <http://www.sippicanpt.com/resources/Strength++Balance+Handout.pdf>.

47. Shuler FD, Schlierf T, Wingate M. Preventing falls with vitamin D. *WVMMJ*. May-Jun 2014;110(3):10-12.

48. Schoene D, Wu SM, Mikolaizak AS, et al. Discriminative ability and predictive validity of the timed up and go test in identifying older people who fall: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. Feb 2013;61(2):202-208.

49. Arnold CM, Sran MM, Harrison EL. Exercise for fall risk reduction in community-dwelling older adults: a systematic review. *Physiotherapy Canada*. *Physiotherapie Canada*. Fall 2008;60(4):358-372.

50. Faber MJ, Bosscher RJ, Chin APMJ, van Wieringen PC. Effects of exercise programs on falls and mobility in frail and pre-frail older adults: A multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. Jul 2006;87(7):885-896.

51. El-Khoury F, Cassou B, Charles MA, Dargent-Molina P. The effect of fall prevention exercise programmes on fall induced injuries in community dwelling older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*. 2013;347:f6234.

Helping You Manage a Healthier Practice

Providing Professional Services to Physicians Since 1973

Wally Suttle
Bob Newton
Tricia Clark



- Practice Analysis & Benchmarking
- Tax Planning & Preparation
- Core Accounting Services
- Practice Operation Improvement
- Regulatory Compliance



1973 - 2013

40

Parkersburg
304-485-6584

Charleston
304-343-4126

www.suttlecpas.com