

4-28-2006

SR-05-06-(24) 69-126 APC

Marshall University

Follow this and additional works at: [http://mds.marshall.edu/fs\\_recommendations](http://mds.marshall.edu/fs_recommendations)

---

### Recommended Citation

Marshall University, "SR-05-06-(24) 69-126 APC" (2006). *Recommendations*. 414.  
[http://mds.marshall.edu/fs\\_recommendations/414](http://mds.marshall.edu/fs_recommendations/414)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at Marshall Digital Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Recommendations by an authorized administrator of Marshall Digital Scholar. For more information, please contact [zhangj@marshall.edu](mailto:zhangj@marshall.edu), [martj@marshall.edu](mailto:martj@marshall.edu).

**ACADEMIC PLANNING COMMITTEE  
RECOMMENDATION**

**SR-05-06-(24) 69-126 APC**

Recommends that the attached revision of the "Evaluator's Recommendation" language in the Program Review Evaluator's Check Sheet be approved for use.

**RATIONALE:**

The Academic Planning Committee and the Graduate Council have worked together to clarify the meanings and language of the 'program review recommendations'. The document has been approved by both the Academic Planning Committee and the Graduate Council.

**FACULTY SENATE CHAIR:**

APPROVED BY THE  
FACULTY SENATE: Yang Stieber DATE: 4/28/2006

DISAPPROVED BY THE  
FACULTY SENATE: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

**UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT:**  
APPROVED: [Signature] DATE: 5/10/06

DISAPPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

**COMMENTS:** \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

## Program Review Evaluator's Check Sheet

<b>Program Evaluated</b>		
<b>Name of Evaluator</b>		
<b>Date</b>		
<b>I. Program Review Issues:</b> (Please indicate specific concerns about the program review document and indicate R for recommended or M for mandatory changes.)		
<i>R or M</i>	<i>Comments (Be specific)</i>	
<b>II. Editing/Style Changes:</b> (Please be specific about the changes, including page numbers for each change. Also, please indicate <i>R</i> for recommended/ <i>M</i> for mandatory.)		
<i>Page No.</i>	<i>R or M</i>	<i>Comments (Be specific)</i>
<b>III. Evaluator's Recommendation: (Please check one.)</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation of program at the <b>current level of activity.</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation of program with <b>corrective action: Progress report due by November 1 next academic year.</b> ( <i>Program deficiencies that need to be corrected and issues addressed should be outlined</i> ) <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of the program for <b>resource development: Progress report due by November 1 next academic year.</b> ( <i>Program issues to be addressed should be outlined</i> ) <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation of the program at the current level of activity, with the designation as a <b>program of excellence.*</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinuation of the program		
<p>Note:</p> <p><b>Corrective Action</b> will apply to programs that have deficiencies that the program itself can address and correct.</p> <p><b>Resource Development</b> will apply to already viable programs that require additional resources from the Administration to help achieve their full potential. This designation is considered an investment in a viable program as opposed to addressing issues of a weak program.</p> <p>*All such designations must include the special documentation required to document a program of excellence (see criteria).</p>		

**Program Review – Evaluator’s Check Sheet continued**

**Program Evaluated:**

**Additional Comments**