Mitochondrial 16S rRNA Gene as a Tool for Scorpion Systematics

> Thesis submitted to The Graduate College Marshall University

In partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Masters of Science Biological Science

by

Mark D. Barker

Marshall University Huntington, WV 1 May, 2000



This thesis was accepted on <u>May 2 2000</u> Month Day Year

as meeting the research requirements for the Master's Degree.

Advisor: e

Committee

Committee:

Department of Biological Sciences

Conard Tel

Dean of the Graduate College

Acknowledgements

I would like to first thank Dr. Evans and Dr. Little for being on my committee. I also would like to thank Dr. Little for the use of his lab and his computer on which much of my analysis was performed. I would like to thank B. Gantenbein who performed the analysis on the *Euscorpius* project, collected specimens, and obtained some of the sequences of the samples in the joint lab project.

I would like to thank the following people for without their collection of samples my study could have never been performed: M. Soleglad, S. Tallarvoric, R. Farley, J. Bigelow, G. Lowe, G. Polis, D. Huber, T. Yamashita, and B. Tomberlin.

Lastly I would like to thank Dr. Victor Fet for the use of his lab and his expertise over the last two years, and whose support made this study possible.

Table of Contents

		Page
Abst	cract	1
1	Introduction	2
1.1	Taxonomy	2
1.2	Mitochondrial DNA Analysis	11
2	Material and Methods	14
2.1	Hadrurus	15
2.2	Anuroctonus	17
2.3	Euscorpius	18
2.4	Centruroides	20
3	Results	30
3.1	Hadrurus	30
3.2	Anuroctonus	31
3.3	Euscorpius	32
3.4	Centruroides	33
4	Discusion	53
4.1	Hadrurus	53
4.2	Anuroctonus	54
4.3	Euscorpius	55
4.4	Centruroides	56
5	Conclusion	58
6	Literature Cited	59
App	endix 1	63

	Table of Figures		
		Page	
Figure	1	24	
Ranges	of the species of the genus Hadrurus	(Williams	1980)
Figure	2		
Anuroc	<i>tonus</i> ranges		
Figure	3	25	
Euscor	pius gamma study sites		
Figure	4	26	
Euscor	<i>pius</i> alpha study sites		
Figure	5	27	
Range	of Centruroides exilicauda (Williams	1980)	
Figure	6	28	
Anuroc	tonus map of collection sites		
Figure	7	35	
Hadrur	us phylogentic tree 1		
Figure	8	36	
Hadrur	rus phylogenetic tree 2		
Figure	9	37	
Hadrur	rus phylogenetic consensus tree		
Figure	10	38	
Hadrur	rus phylogenetic bootstrap analysis		
Figure	11	40	
Anuroc	tonus phylogenetic tree		

Figure 12	41
Anuroctonus phylogenetic bootstrap analysis	
Figure 13	43
Euscorpius phylogenetic tree showing only the T-	-Form
and K-Form	
Figure 14	45
Euscorpius phylogentic tree for E. alpha study	
Figure 15	47
Centruroides phylogenetic tree 1	
Figure 16	48
Centruroides phylogenetic tree 2	
Figure 17	49
Centruroides phylogenetic tree 3	
Figure 18	50
Centruroides phylogenetic consensus tree	
Figure 19	51
Centruroides phylogenetic bootstrap analysis	

Table of Tables

	Page
Table 1	39
Distance Matrix <i>Hadrurus</i>	
Table 2	42
Distance Matrix Anuroctonus	
Table 3	44
Distance Matrix of T-Form and K-Form	
Table 4	46
Distance Matrix Euscorpius alpha study	
Table 5	52
Distance Matrix Centruroides	

Abstract

The use of morphological analysis in the classification and phylogeny of scorpions often has led to confusion and controversy. In order to clarify these problems other character sets should be considered for analysis. One such tool is the use of molecular comparisons between organisms. This study used a section of the mitochondrial 16S ribosomal RNA gene. This technique was applied to investigate four scorpion genera belonging to three families: Hadrurus, Anuroctonus (Iuridae), Euscorpius (Euscorpiidae), and Centruroides (Buthidae). Total DNA was extracted with a standard phenol/chloroform method; a gene of interest was amplified using PCR; and resulting DNA sequence analysis was accomplished using phylogenetic software PAUP 4b. Analysis demonstrated a lack of divergence between the North American subspecies Hadrurus arizonensis arizonensis and H.a.pallidus, which were originally separated by coloration. Another North American genus, Anuroctonus, which possesses one described species, showed sufficient divergence to suggest multiple species. The genus *Euscorpius* demonstrated a species-level genetic diversity of the Alpine forms in E. germanus separated by the Adige River (Northern Italy) not

illustrated by morphological differences. Lastly, the current classification of the genus *Centruroides* (USA and Mexico) may not be sufficient considering the amount of genetic diversity present within the species *C. exilicauda*. The quality of information achieved using molecular techniques show it as a very useful tool in systematics.

1.1 Taxonomy

Few subjects have been as debated as the definition for the terms, species and subspecies, and what these taxonomic divisions entail. The subspecies is the lowest category in the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. The confusion over the term began with Linnaeus, who used the lowest category of nomenclature as species (Linnaeus 1758). Infraspecific forms were designated as varieties and these were described as two different entities: one as an individual within a population of wide variation and another as a population of polymorphic species. Attempts to clarify this point were then made in 1781 when subspecies were declared to be the geographically defined races and varieties were the individuals within a highly variable population (Esper 1781). Later the term variety was restricted to use in botany and once again the definition for this term was returned to the term "subspecies" giving it once again two entities. In 1942 Mayr modified the idea of the morphological species and introduced the concept of the biological species which stated that species are "groups of actually or potentially interbreeding natural populations,

which are reproductively isolated from other such groups" (Mayr 1942). Modern definitions for species often consider these groups units of evolution with characteristics that are mutually exclusive to different species. Using this concept of species would lead to a subspecies as reflecting a change in frequencies of alleles and therefore, would be subject to rapid and minor changes (Gaines 1978; Crother, 1992). Also, this uncertainty, when dealing with the subspecies concept, can be abused for statements of biodiversity when dealing with conservation biology. Through manipulation of the terminology reports of biodiversity could be greatly over- or underestimated depending on the motivation of the sampler. With today's concerns over natural populations the ability to distinguish between so called subspecies of organisms must be settled on a genetic level to test the variability of a group of organisms. Molecular techniques offer a method to check the genetic variability within these groups which not even an undisputed definition exists. Scorpions represent a group in which the taxonomic debate continues due to conserved features and overlapping of identifiers serving as defining features.

It is known that scorpions date back 425 to 450 million years (Silurian) at which point they are believed

to have diverged from the Eurypterida, or water scorpions. The earliest scorpions are thought to have been aquatic, and other than the change in form associated with adaptation to land existence the basic body plan has not changed much in the last 425 million years. Modern scorpions have wide geographic distribution including every continent of the world excluding Antarctica. Scorpions are currently divided into 18 families with 159 genera and 1270 species (Fet and Sissom 2000). Their diverse habitats include desert, sevannas, grasslands, temperate forests, tropical forests, rain forests, intertidal zones, caves, and mountains.

The success of scorpions can be credited to their adaptable ecology, behavior, physiology, and life history. Many are capable of supercooling and upon return to normal temperatures can resume normal activities within a few hours. Another adaptive character is their low metabolic rate allowing some to survive for over a year without food. These traits make for an organism that has survived on the earth for over 400 million years and explain the morphological conservation witnessed within this group. The controversy in classification of this ancient group of organisms can be seen clearly within the following genera: *Hadrurus* Thorell (Iuridae), *Anuroctonus* Thorell (Iuridae),

Euscorpius Thorell (Euscorpiidae), and Centruroides Marx (Buthidae).

Species of the genus Hadrurus (Thorell 1876), the "giant hairy scorpion", are widespread in the American deserts. Body size ranging from 100 to 125 mm in length make it among the largest terrestrial invertebrates in North America. They are found in the states of Oregon, Idaho, California, Nevada, Arizona, Utah, and in Mexico (Fig. 1). The genus Hadurus currently contains eight species, three within the United States and five, within Mexico. These species have been intensively studied. Originally, the genus was included in the family Vaejovidae, subfamily Vejovinae, and was then placed in the family Iuridae (Francke and Soleglad 1980). In 1976, Soleglad divided eight species of *Hadrurus* into subgroups based on trichobothrial counts. H. concolor Stahnke, 1969, H. hirsutus (Wood, 1863), and H. pinteri Stahnke, 1969 were placed in the hirsutus group, while the U.S. species H. arizonensis Ewing, 1928, H. spadix Stahnke, 1940, and H. obscurus Williams, 1970 were placed in the arizonensis group. Also there exist within the species H. arizonensis two subspecies H. a. arizonensis and H. a. pallidus Williams, 1970 (Williams, 1970) whose differences in morphological classification are coloration of carapace,

with that of *H. a. pallidus* being much lighter with reported intermediates in coloration (Willians 1970). Likewise based on coloration was the classification of *H. obscurus* by Williams (1970). Both of these groupings were found to have similar trichobothrial patterns within each pair (Soleglad 1976).

A second genus of Iuridae found in North America is Anuroctonus. This genus was also originally placed in the Vaejovidae family, subfamily Vaejovinae. Stahnke (1974) removed Anuroctonus from this subfamily and placed it in the subfamily Hadrurinae. In 1981 Francke and Soleglad rearranged the family dividing it into two subfamilies, Iurinae (containing *Iurus* and *Calchas*) and Caraboctoninae (containing tribe Hadrurini with Hadrurus and tribe Caraboctonini with Hadruroides and Caraboctonus). Stockwell (1992) suggested that genus Anuroctonus is the sister group of genus Hadrurus, and reinstated the subfamily Hadrurinae for these two genera (Fet and Sissom 2000). Currently there is only one species within the genus Anuroctonus; however, at least three taxa have been proposed to exist. As a part of this study, we tested the level of divergence for three proposed species: the previously established A. phaiodactylus and two new species

currently under description by M. E. Soleglad (unpublished), A. pococki and A. bajae (Fig. 2).

Genus Euscorpius is found in the area surrounding the Mediterranean and southern Europe. The taxonomy of this genus is extremely complicated and not well resolved. Euscorpius currently contains six species with 42 subspecies (Fet and Sissom, 2000) which makes it the most polymorphic genus of scorpions at below-species level.

Even the number of species within *Euscorpius* is not clear. One of the *Euscorpius* lineages is referred to as the "*Euscorpius mingrelicus* (Kessler, 1874) complex", which was shown by Bonacina (1980) to be different from the taxa associated with *E. germanus* (Koch, 1837). Scherabon (1987) using morphological analysis described two forms of *E. germanus* from Austria, the typical (T-form) and the "Kaarawanken-Form" (K-form). Morphological comparison of the "K-Form" with *E. germanus gamma* (Di Caporiacco, 1950) and *E. germanus histrorum* (Di Caporiacco, 1950) demonstrated that these forms are identical. In 1980, Bonacina considered both of these forms to be subspecies of *E. mingrelicus* (Bonacina 1980).

E. germanus is found within mountainous areas from the Balkans, Austria, northern Italy and southern Switzerland (Capra 1939, Valle 1969, Di Caporiacco 1950, Bonacina 1980,

Crucitti 1993, Fet and Braunwalder 1997, Gantebein et al., 1998). This group was originally classified using traditional features including trichobothrial counts and patterns (Birula 1900, Hadzi 1931, Vachon 1962, 1981) and number of teeth on pectinal organs (Capra 1939). Using these qualifications Capra (1939) divided E. germanus into four forms. These elements were: a "typical" form found in East Italy (Trentino, Alto-Adige and Cadore), the A-form, in the Lombardian Alps (North Italy), the B-form found in the Piemontesian Alps (Northwest Italy), and the C-form in the Selva-di-Tarnova-region (modern Slovenia) and the Karawanken Alps of Austria. Then in 1950 Di Caporiacco conformed these forms and gave them status of subspecies (E. g. germanus, E. g. alpha, E. g. beta, and E. g. gamma). Bonacina (1980) suggested, due to overlapping of trichobithria counts and pectinal teeth, hybrids of E. q. alpha and E. g. germanus. This has caused confusion over the status of these taxa.

The fourth genus included in the study was that of *Centruroides*. This genus belongs to the largest of the scorpion families, Buthidae. This group was recognized as a genus in 1890 (Marx 1890). *Centruroides* is a large genus with 41 species and 24 subspecies (including nine nominotypical forms)

(Fet & Lowe 2000). The genus is only found in the New World, centered in Central America, the Carribean and Mexico, and extending widely into northern South America and the southern United States (Fig. 5). Centruroides includes small to quite large species, among them some of the most toxic for humans (Mexico). The taxon inhabits diverse environments from hot arid deserts to tropical rainforests (Fet & Sissom 2000). This group like the others represented here required a comparative morphologyindependent technique, which could allow a comprehensive study of its taxonomy and phylogeny.

1.2 Mitochondrial DNA Analysis

Since the late 1970's the use of mitochondrial DNA homology for evolutionary studies has provided a tool with many benefits. Using mitochondrial DNA allows monitoring of gene flow within populations, effects of hybridization, and phylogenetic relationships (Moritz 1987). The mitochondrial present in animals, with one exception, is a duplex covalently closed circular molecule. In animals there are no interrupted genes and it contains no introns (Moritz 1987). It possesses highly conserved gene arrangement and product functionality. Essentially,

mitochondrial DNA is passed through the female to offspring and has as a result virtually no recombination. It contains two ribosomal RNA genes, 22 transfer RNA genes, and 13 protein coding genes (Avise 1994). Another key feature of this molecule is its high rate of mutation of about 5 to 10 times faster than that of single copy nuclear DNA. This rate is not in all regions of the molecule. The areas of high mutation rate known as nonconserved regions and regions with low mutation rate known as conserved regions (Avise 1994).

The technique of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) allows production of a million times the starting DNA sequence copies. This amplification of template sequence allows for the use of small quantities of DNA from samples that have degraded, dried, frozen, or preserved. This process was first developed for detection of human T-cells lymphoma leukemia viruses, hepatitis B virus, and bacterial pathogens in water samples (Lewin 1994). The process of PCR consists of three steps where the determining factors are time and temperature. The first step is denaturation in which the temperature is raised to a range of 92 and 96 C to allow for a dissociation of the two strands of DNA. The second step is known as the annealing step during which the single stranded DNA primers bind to the to the now

denatured DNA template. This stage of the process requires a temperature of near 50 C. The third step of the process is the extension phase. In this phase the temperature is raised to 72 to 74 C and the *Taq* DNA polymerase adds 35 to 100 nucleotides per second to the template strands between the binding sites of the attached primers. This extension process is done in a five to three prime direction on the DNA strand (Hillis 1996). This process is then repeated for 25 to 30 cycles, giving high yield of the desired segment of DNA.

An automated process sequences the selected region of DNA. This is accomplished usually by using the Sanger method, using fluorescently labeled DNA segments. Another method incorporates the use of radioactively labeled DNA fragments and is know as the Maxam-Gilbert technique (Hillis 1996). These fragments are detected using a tunable laser, which records as a segment passes a fixed point. This information is recorded as a chromatograph, which can be visually read as a DNA sequence (Hillis 1996)

This study attempted to apply molecular biology techniques to the taxonomy of scorpions, and to offer a comparative technique, which can be applied to different species, genera, and other taxonomic levels. We demonstrate the actual number of changes in a selected DNA

sequence of a certain gene, which was necessary in order to arrive at distinctly divergent organisms. These data allow the use of a method of cladistic analysis based on percentage differences (distances) as well as on phylogenetic trees.

2. Material and Methods

Extraction of DNA was performed by first removing a appendage from a specimen and allowing to air dry for two hours at room temperature to allow the evaporation of all ethanol (specimens were stored in 96 % ethanol). Sample was then placed in liquid nitrogen and ground with a ceramic mortar and pestle to achieve shearing of cell membranes. A phenol/chloroform extraction was then performed upon the tissue (Protocol 1).

Next, a one-microliter amount of the sample is placed within the PCR mixture (Protocol 2). This is run in the Perkin-Elmer 2400 thermocycler with settings of 40 seconds in the three steps of annealing, extension, and denaturation. The annealing temperature was 48 degrees Celsius. The primers used in this amplification have the CGATTTGAACTCAGATCA (forward sequences 18-mer) and GTGCAAAGGTAGCATAATCA (reverse 20-mer). The corresponding positions in the mitochondrial genome are 12,867-12,887 and 13,218-13,310 from the Drosophila yakuba genome (Clary and Wolstenholme, 1985). Forward primer is universal for arthropods; reverse primer was developed by V. Fet (Gantenbein et al. 1999). Cleaning of the PCR product is

achieved using a Ultrafree MC 30,000 cellulose centrifuge filters (Millipore Inc.)(Protocol 3). The products were then sequenced by The University of Georgia's DNA Core Facility.

Sequences were then aligned by hand or by the use of ClustalX alignment software. The aligned sequences were then analyzed using PAUP 4.0 (Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony) software to achieve relations among the sequences.

2.1 Hadrurus

Thirteen adult specimens were taken for this study from 5 species of the genus *Hadurus*. The specimen locations and numbers assigned to them are as follows:

- Hadurus pinteri Stahnke, 1969 from Isla Danzante,
 Baja California Sur, Mexico (collected by Dr.
 Victor Fet) was abbreviated as Hpin.
- 2) H. concolor Stahnke, 1969 from Bahia de Los Angeles, Baja California Norte, Mexico (collected by Dr. Victor Fet) was abbreviated as Hcon.
- 3) H. spadix Stahnke, 1940 from the vicinity of Hawthorne, Mineral Co., Nevada, USA (collected by G. Lowe) was abbreviated Hspa

- 4) H. obscuris Williams, 1970 from Anza-Borrego Desert State Park, San Diego, California, USA (collected by M. Soleglad) two specimens abbreviated Hob1 and Hob2
- 5) H. arizonensis Ewing, 1928 consisted of 8 specimens (5.1) near Death Valley National Monument, Inyo Co., California, USA (collected by G. Lowe) abbreviated Harl

(5.2) Yuma, Yuma Co., Arizona, USA (collected by J. Bigelow) abbreviated Har2

(5.3) Dateland, Yuma Co., Arizona, USA (collected by R. Farley) abbreviated Har3

(5.4), (5.5), and (5.6) Anza-Borrego Desert State
Park, San Diego Co., California, USA (collected by
M. Soleglad) abbreviated Har4, Har5, and Har6
(5.7) Indio, Riverside Co., California, USA
(collected by S. Tallarovic) abbreviated Har7
(5.8) Soda Springs, near Baker, San bernandino Co.,
California, USA (collected by S. Tallarovic)
abbreviated Har8

The outgroups for the analysis were *Euscorpius* flavicaudis an European species (Degeer, 1778) and

Centuroides exilicauda (Wood, 1863) a Mexican species of the family Buthidae (collected by Dr. Victor Fet). The choice of *C. exilicauda*, according to Sissom, represents the most remote extant scorpions to the family Iuridae (Sissom, 1990).

2.2 Anuroctonus

Representatives of the only recognized species of the genus, A. phaidactylus, the type species (AnUT), were tested against the proposed species of A. bajae and A. pococki mentioned above. Four specimens of the proposed species A. bajae were analyzed and were assigned names according to collection sites. The outgroup chosen for the comparison was H. arizonensis.

A. bajae: (suggested species)

AnJT (Jasper Trail, San Diego Co.)

AnRV (Anza, Riverside Co.)

AnCH (Chihuahua Road, San Diego Co.)

AnPM (Pinyon Mountain, San Diego Co.)

A. pococki (suggested species)

AnMO (Monterey, Monterey Co., CA)

A.phaiodactylus (recognized species)

AnUT = (Garrison, Utah)

2.3 Euscorpius

The first of the two Euscorpius studies was performed with a total of 11 specimens, 8 of the suggested E. germanus, 2 of the proposed E. gamma, and one of the outgroup E. flavicaudis(Fig. 3). The E. germanus samples included specimens from Schluderns, from Brixen, from Bremer, from Vols, from Oberdrauberg, from Dobratsch, from Shytt, from Crnice. The E. gamma specimens included samples from Koschuta and from Trogerner-Klamm. The outgroup was formed of 11 specimens of E. falvicaudis from Lauris. The analysis of these sequences was performed by B. Gantenbein, and obtaining of the PCR products for sequencing was a combined effort between the labs of V. Fet and B. Gantenbein.

	E. germ anus								E. gam ma		E. flavicaudis
Popul ation	Schlu derns (4)	Brixe n (3)	Bre mer (1)	Vols (2)	Ober drau burg (5)	Dobr atsc h (6)	Schn utt (7)	Crni ce (8)	Kosc huta (10)	Trog erne r Klam m (9)	Lauris

In the second *Euscorpius* study, twenty-six specimens of *Euscrorpius germanus* were collected from various locations in Middle and Western Europe. The specimens were labeled according to the location at which they were collected and their corresponding numbers for figure 4 is as follows:

E. germanus

Western Clade

Abbreviation	Site	Map number	(Fig.1)
EalGO	Gondo	(1)	
EalFO	Fontainemore	(2)	
EalRA	Rancate	(3)	
EalSO	Sottoponte	(7)	
EalSP	San Pellegrino	(12)	
EalSG	San Giovan	(13)	
EalOL	Olmo al Brembo	(15)	

EalCA	Carona	(19)
EalTA	Tavernola	(23)
EalMO	Molina di Ledra	(26)
EalML	Marling	(27)
Fastern Clade		
Lastern Grade		
EgeSM	Sta Maria	(29)
EgeSH	Scluderns	(31)
EgeVO	Vols	(35)
EgeVE	Vetriolo	(37)
EgeME	Mezzocana	(41)
EgeBO	Borca	(42)
EgeST	Starkenbach	(44)
EgeTZ	Tarrenz	(45)
EgeKR	Kranzach	(46)
EgeDE	Dellach	(47)
EgeOB	Oberdrauburg	(48)
EgeCR	Crnice	(52)
E. gamma		
EgaKO	Koschuta	

E. flavicaudis

EflLA

Lauris

2.4 Centrurcides

The study of *Cetruroides* included 10 populations of *C. exilicauda* (Fig. 6) from Southwestern North America and Central America. The choices for outgroup comparison were *C. vittatus* from Arkansas and *C. bani* from the Dominican Republic. Each specimen is abbreviated according to its site of collection with *C. vittatus* and *C. bani* labeled as Cvit abd Cban. CeBA = Bahia de Los Angeles, Baja California, Mexico, coll. V. Fet CeSI = San Ignacio, Baja California, Mexico, coll. V. Fet CeJU = Juncalito, Baja California, Mexico, coll. V. Fet CeCA = Cabo San Lucas, Baja California, Mexico (Cape area, coll. M. E. Soleglad) CeBC = Black Canyon City, Arizona, USA, coll. B. Tomberlin CeTU = Tucson, Arizona, USA, , coll. B. Tomberlin CeTE = Tempe, Arizona, USA, coll. J. Bigelow CeYU = Yuma, Arizona, USA, coll. J. Bigelow CePP = Puerto Penasco, Sonora, Mexico,), coll. G. A. Polis CeGU = Guaymas Islands, Sonora, Mexico, coll. G. A. Polis CvAR = C. vittatus, Russelville, Arkansas, coll. T. Yamashita Cban = C. bani, Dominican Republic, coll. D. Huber

Protocol 1 (DNA Extraction)

- ground tissue is placed in 900 ul of Lifton buffer at a pH of 9 and 40ul of proteinase K.
- then incubated in a water bath overnight at 47 to 51 degrees Celsius.
- place in ice bath for 15 minutes until sediment forms and centrifuge for 15 minutes at 14,000 rpm.
- the top liquid layer is then removed to another tube and the sediment discarded.
- 2 ul of RNAse is then added and incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes (this step is optional).
- 150ul of equilibrated phenol is added

will all the state of parallel in the line in

- 150ul of CIA (24 parts chloroform and 1 part isoamyl alcohol)
- mixture is then vortex mixed and spun at 14000 rpm for 5 minutes
- top aqueous layer is removed and transferred to another tube, while the lower layer is discarded
- repeat previous 3 steps twice more
- add 150 ul 3M sodium acetate
- add 300 ul 100% isopropanol
- invert several times and place in freezer for at least two hours
- spin at 14000 rpm for 15 minutes
 - remove all liquid leaving pellet
 - wash with 150 ul of 70% ethanol
 - spin for 5 minutes at 14000 rpm and remove liquid
 - allow to air dry
 - suspend DNA in 150 ul of ultrapure water

Protocol 2 (PCR reaction)

Master Mix of 12 reactions each 50 ul

- -276 ul Ultrapure water
- -72ul Perkin Elmer MgCl2
- -60 ul Perkin Elmer PCR buffer

-60 ul Perkin Elmer Nucleotide Mix

-3-4 ul Perkin Elmer Amplitaq

Protocol 3 (PCR product cleaning)

-remaining product after electrophoretic verification ofDNA amplification-an additional 350 ul ultrapure water is added to filter

tube

-spin at 7000 rpm for 5 minutes

-filtered watered is discarded

-add 250 ul ultrapure water to filter tube

-spin at 7000 rpm for 5 minutes

-filtrate is then suspended in 30 ul of ultrapure water and

stored for sequencing

Figure 1

Ranges of the species of the genus Hadrurus (Williams 1980)

(*H.a. pallidus*= closed circle)

(*H. concolorous*= closed squares)

(*H. hirsutus*= open triangles)

(*H. obscurus*= open circles)

(*H. pinteri*= open squares)

Figure 1



Figure 2

Anuroctonus ranges

(suggested species by M. Solglad)





Figure 3

Euscorpius gamma study sites

- 1. Bremer
- 2. Vols
- 3. Brixen
- 4. Schulderns
- 5. Oberdrauberg
- 6. Dobratsch
- 7. Schutt
- 8. Crnice
- 9. Trogerner-Klamm
- 10.Koschuta

Figure 3


Euscorpius alpha study sites



Range of Centruroides exilicauda (Williams 1980)



Anuroctonus map of collection sites

CeBA = Bahia de Los Angeles, Baja California, Mexico, coll. V. Fet CeSI = San Ignacio, Baja California, Mexico, coll. V. Fet CeJU = Juncalito, Baja California, Mexico, coll. V. Fet CeCA = Cabo San Lucas, Baja California, Mexico (Cape area, coll. M. E. Soleglad) CeBC = Black Canyon City, Arizona, USA, coll. B. Tomberlin CeTU = Tucson, Arizona, USA, coll. B. Tomberlin CeTE = Tempe, Arizona, USA, coll. J. Bigelow CeYU = Yuma, Arizona, USA, coll. J. Bigelow CePP = Puerto Penasco, Sonora, Mexico, coll. G. A. Polis CeGU = Guaymas Islands, Sonora, Mexico, coll. G. A. Polis

ā

÷



3. Results

3.1 Hadrurus

When the analysis of the sequences obtained from the specimens was conducted, it was found that the sequences of the specimens Har2, Har3, Har4, and Har4 were identical, with zero distance between them. Therefore, only the sequence of Har2 was used in the analysis to represent all four specimens. Likewise, two specimens of *H. a. pallidus*, Har6 and Har7, differ from the previously mentioned sequence by only base pair and is thus grouped with the others into Har2. The specimens Har1 and Har8 were found to have an identical sequence and were grouped as Har1. And finally the two specimens of *H. obscuris* (both from San Diego) differed in only one base pair and in the analysis were grouped into group Hob1.

The distance matrix (Table 1) consists of six analyzed groups and two outgroups. The genetic distance between *Hadrurus* specimens ranges from 1.1% to 12.5%. When compared to outgroups genetic distance was 21% to 35% confirming all *Hadrurus* sequences are in fact *Hadrurus*.

The phylogenetic tree formed internal clades of *H*. *concolor* with *H*. *arizonensis* (Har1 and Har2) and a second clade of Hob1 (*H*. *obscurus*) and Hspa (*H*. *spadix*) (Fig. 9).

Also another internal clade is formed by the two haplotypes of *H. arizonensis*. *H. pinteri* is supported by bootstrap resampling as a sister group with the other clades (100%) and the clade of Har1 and Har2 as two haplotypes is also supported 100% (Fig. 10). The clade of *H. spadix* and *H. obscurus* are supported 71% by bootstrap (Fig.10).

3.2 Anuroctonus

Analysis of the sequences from the Anuroctonus samples resulted in the formation of distinct clades (Fig. 11). Two major clades were formed, for which bootstrap analysis yielded a 99% and 93% values (Fig.12). One clade is formed by the samples from Monterey Co., California (AnMo) and Garrison, Utah (AnUt), the type locality (93%), while the other is formed by those from southern California (99%) (proposed A. bajae species). Also clearly divergent are the AnCH and the clade containing AnJT and AnPM, with an 80% bootstrap value. The distance matrix gives 4% to 6% difference with organisms found within a single terminal clade and 7% to 9% with those outside this clade (Table 2).

3.3 Euscorpius

Analyses of the DNA sequences of the Euscorpius study were done by Benjamin Gantenbein, as this study was a joint project between the two laboratories. There were two parts in this project: (a) determining status of *E. gamma* (Austria and Slovenia); and (b) investigating divergence within *E. germanus* (Italy, Switzerland, Austria, and Slovenia).

The first of the two *Euscorpius* studies resulted in a single phylogenetic tree. The five in-group *Euscorpius* specimens were split clearly into two clades (Fig. 13). These clades correspond to the "T-form" and the "K-form" of Scherabon (1987). Within the "T-Form clade" the distance matrix showed a genetic distance of 0.8% to 3.5%. Within the "K-Form" there is a genetic distance of 1.6%. The distance between the two clades range from 5.6% to 7.5% suggesting different morphospecies within the same genus. The distance between the two clades and the outgroup, *E. flavicaudis*, was from 9.8% to 11.5%.

In the second study, a phylogeny within *E. germanus* was revealed: the entire set of samples fell into two clear deep clades. When analyzed, the DNA variation in *E. alpha* ("western clade") showed 45 out of 353 bases were polymorphic and within the *E. germanus* ("eastern clade") sequence 7 out of 369 were found to be polymorphic. The phylogenetic analysis of these sequences resulted in one tree indicating two clades (Fig.14) within *E. germanus* having bootstrap values of 96% and 90%. The Adige River in North Italy divides these population groups, and the genetic distance between these clades is comparable to that between *E. g. germanus* and *E. gamma*. As a result of this *Euscorpius* study, *E. gamma* and *E. alpha* were raised to the species rank.

3.4 Centuroides

Centruroides analyis gave three possible trees (Figs. 15-17). All three show two distinct clades with minor rearrangement of the component specimens. None of these rearrangements created a new supported clade different from those on any tree. The separation of the two clades has a bootstrap value of 90% (Fig 19). The separation of the clade containing CeBA, CeSI, CeJU has a bootstrap value of 98% while the other larger clade shows a bootstrap value of 73% with its separation (Fig. 19). Also CeSI anjd CeJU are separated with a value of 84 and CePP and CeCA are equally different form the clades of CeBC and CeTU and the clade

CeTE and CeGU as from each other with a bootstrap value of 78%. The distance matrix shows distance within the main clades is less than 6% while that between members of different clades is greater than 7%.

Hadrurus phylogentic tree 1

Figure 7



Hadrurus phylogenetic tree 2

Figure 8



Hadrurus phylogenetic consensus tree

Figure 9



Hadrurus phylogenetic bootstrap analysis

-

*





Table 1

.

Distance Matrix Hadrurus

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 Harl	-						
2 Har2	0.01087	-					
3 Hspa	0.05707	0.06250	-				
4 Hob1	0.06250	0.06793	0.02446	-			
5 Hoon	0.07337	0.07337	0.06250	0.06793	-		
6 Hpin	0.11429	0.11156	0.10067	0.09516	0.12264	-	
7 Efla	0.22408	0.22408	0.21574	0.21565	0.21311	0.22397	-
8 Cexi	0.28996	0.29283	0.30708	0.30715	0.29857	0.31063	0.28112

Anuroctonus phylogenetic tree





Anuroctonus phylogenetic bootstrap analysis

station was an interest the second terms



Table 2 Distance Matrix Anuroctonus

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 Hari	-						
2 AnJT	0.27637	-					
3 AnRV	0.24780	0.04477	-				
4 AnMo	0.25020	0.09095	0.07505	-			
5 AnUt	0.25486	0.08871	0.08072	0.04536	-		
6 AnCH	0.26961	0.04391	0.02417	0.10317	0.08292	-	
7 AnPM	0.26891	0.04448	0.05923	0.09893	0.08306	0.04293	

Euscorpius phylogenetic tree showing only the T-Form and K-Form



Table 3 Distance matrix of T-Form and K-Form

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1 Oberdrauburg	-	0.008	0.035	0.064	0.075	0.109
2 Vols(=Schlude rns)	3	-	0.035	0.058	0.075	0.103
3 Crnice	13	13	-	0.056	0.067	0.114
4 Trogerner Klamm	24	22	21	-	0.016	0.098
5 Koschuta	28	28	25	6	-	0.115
6 Lauris	41	39	43	37	43	-

Euscorpius phylogentic tree for E. alpha study



0.01 substitutions/site

Table 4 Euscorpius alpha study Distance matrix

	Eal	EalSP	EalSC	EalCA	EalOL	EalSO	EalTA	EalMA	EgeOB	EgeBO	EgeVO	
	FO											
EalFO	+	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.06	
EalSP	0.0 2	-	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.06	
EalSC	0.0	0.01	-	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.07	
EalCA	0.0	0.03	0.04	-	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.07	
EalOL	0.0	0.02	0.03	0.01	-	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.07	0.07	
EalSO	0.0	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.01	-	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.06	
EalTA	0.0	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.03	-	0.01	0.06	0.06	0.06	
EalMA	0.0	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.01	-	0.06	0.05	0.06	
EgeOB	0.4	0.49	0.54	0.64	0.56	0.49	0.31	0.31	-	0.00	0.01	
EgeBO	0.3	0.47	0.52	0.62	0.54	0.47	0.30	0.30	0.00		0.01	
EgeVO	0.4	0.49	0.54	0.64	0.56	0.49	0.31	0.31	0.01	0.01	-	
EgeME	0.5	0.62	0.69	0.81	0.70	0.61	0.39	0.39	0.01	0.01	0.00	
EgeCR	0.3	0.46	0.50	0.36	0.40	0.45	0.19	0.18	0.00	5 0.07	0.07	
EgaKO	0.2	0.20	0.22	0.25	0.19	0.20	0.10	0.09	0.43	3 0.30	5 0.43	}
EflLA	0.4	0.79	0.86	0.65	0.62	0.65	0.48	0.45	0.65	5 0.59	9 0.62	2

Centruroides phylogenetic tree 1

Figure 15



Centruroides phylogenetic tree 2

Figure 16



Centruroides phylogenetic tree 3
Figure 17



Figure 18

Centruroides phylogenetic consensus tree

Figure 18



Figure 19

Centruroides phylogenetic bootstrap analysis

Figure 19



Table 5 Distance Matrix *Centruroides*

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	CeBA	-						
2	CeSI	0.05719	-					
З	CeJU	0.05416	0.04066	-				
4	CeCA	0.09590	0.09747	0.07327	-			
5	CeBC	0.08968	0.11562	0.09496	0.05919	-		
6	CeTU	0.08860	0.09014	0.08021	0.06220	0.02486	-	
7	CeTE	0.09101	0.10174	0.07678	0.05048	0.02013	0.02323	-
8	CeYU	0.08146	0.11127	0.09181	0.06196	0.02179	0.03040	0.01997
9	CePP	0.09513	0.12219	0.10270	0.05349	0.02453	0.03320	0.02016
10	CeGU	0.09226	0.11936	0.09992	0.06466	0.02990	0.03862	0.01138
11	CVAA	0.14486	0.15462	0.14433	0.14290	0.14483	0.13957	0.13266
12	Cban	0.15315	0.15834	0.15004	0.14032	0.14190	0.14788	0.13842

Uncorrected ("p") distance matrix (continued)

		8	9	10	11	12
8	CeYU	-				
9	CePP	0.02432	-			
10	CeGU	0.02156	0.03241	-		
11	C∨AR	0.13557	0.13842	0.14110	-	
12	Cban	0.13884	0.13386	0.14178	0.15478	-

4.1 Hadrurus

The dark colored H. pinteri (Hpin), from volcanic areas of the Baja California peninsula, varies greatly from the other Hadrurus with a genetic distance 9.5% to 12.3%. H. concolor, another Baja peninsula group, likewise is distant from all other *Hadrurus* species with distances ranging from 6.2% to 7.3% (Table 4). These two species show distance values that have been observed in other "good" morphological species. H. obscurus (Hob1) and H. spadix (Hspa) form a well supported terminal clade but only have a genetic distance of 2.4% (matrix). The original distinction between the species was based on coloration (Williams 1970) and trichobothrial patterns between the two species were shown to be similar (Soleglad 1976). Fieldwork by Dr. Victor Fet and associates have suggested intermediates between H. obscurus and H. spadix. Continued research will be necessary to determnine if these are indeed two different species.

Some of the DNA sequences from H. arozinensis arizonensis (Har 2 and Har3), from Yuma and Dateland, Arizona, were identical to those of H. a. pallidus (Har4 and Har5), from Anza Borrego Desert State Park, and both were very close to the sequence for Har 7 a dark nominotypic population from Indio. While H. a. arizonensis sequence from Death Valley (Harl) was slightly distant at a genetic distance of 1.1% and was identical to a specimen of H. a. arizonensis from Soda Springs, California (Har8). The differentiation as stated earlier was vague between these subspecies and was based mainly on coloration further studies will be necessary to tell if these are merely color variants or if the two subspecies are valid.

4.2 Anuroctonus

The genus Anuroctonus currently contains only one accepted species (however, at least two others have been suggested). The current existing species AnUT from Garrison, Utah and AnMO from Monterey County, CA form a divergence with a bootstrap value of 93% indicating a well supported terminal clade but shows a genetic distance of 4.5% which is not a very high level of divergence for morphospecies. These two specimens vary from 8.2% to 9.8% in genetic distance from the other specimens of Anuroctonus. However, the second clade of the Anuroctonus is highly supported with a bootstrap value of 99%. This and the genetic distances indicate possible different species at least represented by these two major clades.

All specimens within the second clade likewise fall within a 5 % genetic difference from one another. The suggestion of AnMO (suggested A. pococki) as a different species may not be found on the grounds it groups with the recognized species A. phaiodactylus and has a genetic distance of about 4.5%. However the grouping and genetic distance of the proposed A. bajae seems to be well supported. The high divergence, guite unexpected from morphology (M. Soleglad, pers. comm.) could be due to sessile way of life of these animals, highly bound to substrate in which they burrow (Williams 1980), unlike three other genera in our study. Further analysis will be necessary to determine the exact number and boundaries of species, and proper classification of this genus. However, already this study indicates at least two species within the genus Anuroctonus will be necessary, and the old opinion on the monotypy of this genus is wrong.

4.3 Euscorpius

Molecular analysis reveals a deep divergence between the Karawanken Form (K-Form) and the Typical Form (T-Form). The K-Form was found to be morphologically identical to E.

mingrelicus gamma and E. m. histrorum, but is not identical to the nominotypic E. mingrelicus (Kessler 1874) from Caucasus. Therefore, it is suggested that this represents a different species, separate from both E. germanus and E. mingrelicus, based on morphological as well as molecular data.

DNA data does not agree with hybrid suggestions made by Bonacina (1980), or Kinzelbach (1975). Analysis showed two deep well-supported clades within the traditional species *E. germanus*. These clades correspond to the two described subspecies *E. g. germanus* and *E. g. alpha*, though the geographical ranges of these clades does not conform to that reported by morphological analysis of subspecies (Bonacina 1980, Caporiacco 1950).

4.4 Centruroides

C. vittatus (CVAR) from Russelville, Arkansas and C. bani (Cban) from the Dominican Republic show a large genetic distance from other specimens (populations of of C. exilicauda) with a genetic distance of 13.2% to 15.8. This confirms that morphospecies C. vittatus and C. bani are well-supported species. The divergence of the Baja California clade of C. exilicauda (CeBA, CeSI, and CeJU),

with genetic distances of 4.0% to 5.4%, from the other clade containing specimens from Sonora, Arizona, and one population from Cabo San Lucas, Baja California (CeCA, CeBC, CeTu, CeTE, CeGU, CeYU, and CePP) is well supported with a bootstrap value of 90%. This suggests more than one species within *C. exilicauda* and the genetic distances of 7.3% to 15.4% seem to further support this idea. The type locality of this species is Cabo San Lucas. The Cabo San Lucas clade is divergent with a bootstrap value of 73% showing a moderately supported divergence; *C. exilicauda* specimen CeCA shows an intermediate distance between the other Baja specimens and the Arizona specimens. Further studies are necessary to exactly classify the discovered two clades within *C. exilicauda*.

Using mtDNA techniques has shown a possible method of clarifying problems, which arise from traditional morphological analysis. This two-year study demonstrated that coloration differences as seen in Hadrurus are not necessarily indicative of different subspecies and probably represent phenotypic characteristics within the same species. Through the use of molecular techniques the classification of the genus Anuroctonus may be promoted as it is lacking sufficient prior taxonomic division. Molecular analysis has demonstrated differences among the genus Euscorpius which were not apparent through morphological analysis, and showing the genetic diversity of the forms of Euscorpius separated by the Adige River in the Alps as well as the genetic diversity found within the species E. germanus. Lastly, the current classification of the genus Centruroides may not be sufficient considering the amount of genetic diversity present within the species C. exilicauda.

6. Literature Cited

Avise, J. C. 1994. Molecular Markers, Natural History and Evolution. Chapman and Hall. London

Birula, A. A. (Byalynitsky-Birula, A.A.) 1917. Arachnoidea Arthrogastra Caucasica. Pars I. Scorpiones. Mémoires du Musée du Caucase, Tiflis: Imprimerie de la Chancellerie du Comité pour la Transcaucasie, A(5), 253 pp. (in Russian). English translation: 1964. Arthrogastric Arachnids of Caucasia. 1. Scorpions. Jerusalem: Israel Program for Scientific Translations, 170 pp.

Bonacina, A. 1980. Sistematica specifica e sottospecifica del complesso "*Euscorpius germanus*" (Scorpiones, Chactidae). Riv. Mus. civ. Sci. nat. "E. Caffi", 2: 47- 100.

Caporiacco, L. di. 1950. Le specie e sottospecie del genre "Euscorpius" viventi in Italia ed in alcune zone confinanti. Atti Accad. naz. Lincei Memorie, (ser. 8) 2: 159-230.

Capra, F. 1939. L'Euscorpius germanus(C.L. Koch) in Italia (Arachnida, Scorpiones) Memorie della Societa Entomologica Italiana. 18(2): 199-213.

Clary, D.O. and D.r. Wolstenholme. 1985. The mitochondrial DNA molecule of *Drosophila yakuba*: Nucleotide sequence, gene organization and genetic code. Journal of Molecular Evolution 22: 252-271.

Crother, B.I. 1992. Genetic characters, species concepts, and conservation biology. *Conservation Biology* 6: 314.

Crucitti, P. 1993. Distribution and diversity of Italian scorpions. Redia, 76(2): 281-300.

Esper, E. J. C. 1781. De varietatibus specierum in naturae productis. Erlangen.

Fet, V. & M. E. Braunwalder. 1997. On the true authorship and taxonomic history of *Euscorpius germanus* (C. L. Koch, 1837) (nec C. L. Koch, 1836; nec Schaeffer, 1766) (Scorpiones: Chactidae). Bull. Br. Arachnol. Soc., 10(8): 308-310.

Fet, V. & G. Lowe. 2000. Family Buthidae. Pp. 54-286 In: Fet, V. et al. Catalog of the Scorpions of the World (1758-1998). New York Entomological Society, New York, 690 pp.

Fet, V., W.D. Sissom, G. Lowe & M.E. Braunwalder. 2000. Catalog of the Scorpions of the World (1758-1998). New York Entomological Society, New York, 690 pp.

Fet, V. and W.D. Sissom. 2000. Family Euscorpiidae. In Fet, V. et al. Catalog of Scorpions of the World (1758-1998). New York Entomological Society, New York.

Francke, O. F. and M. E. Soleglad. 1981. The family Iuridae Thorell (Arachnida, Scorpiones). Journal of Arachnology 9: 233-258.

Gaines, M.S., L.S. Mcleneghan, Jr. and R.K. Rose. 1978. Temporal patterns of allozymic variation in fluctuating populations of *Microtus ochrogaster*. Evolution 32: 723-39.

Gantenbein, B., L. Büchi, M. E. Braunwalder & A. Scholl 1998. genetic population structure of Euscorpius The germanus (C. L. Koch) (Scorpiones: Chactidae) in Switzerland. Pp. 33-40. In: Selden, P. A. (ed.), Proceedings of the 17th European Colloquium of Arachnology, Edinburgh 1997, 14-18 July, 1997.

Gantenbein, B., V. Fet, C. Largiader & A. Scholl. 1999a. First DNA phylogeny of the genus *Euscorpius* Thorell 1876 (Scorpiones, Euscorpiidae) and its bearing on the taxonomy and biogeography of this genus. *Biogeographica* (Paris), 75(3): 59-72.

Hadzi, J. 1929. Skorpije Schmidtove zbirke. Euscorpius italicus polytrichus n. ssp. i ostale nove rase (Die Skorpione der Schmidt'schen Sammlung: Euscorpius italicus polytrichus n. ssp und andere neue Rassen). Glasnik.

Hillis, D.M., C. Moritz, and B.K. Mable. 1996. Molecualr Systematics. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Mass., U.S. Kinzelbach, R. 1975. Die Skorpione der Ägäis. Beiträge zur Systematik, Phylogenie und Biogeographie. Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abtheilung für Systematik 102(1): 12-50.

Linnaeus, C. 1758.Systema Naturae. Tenth Edition

Lewin, B. 1994. Genes V. Oxford University Press. New York

Marx, G. 1890 (Additional remarks regarding the types of the Scorpionidae desribed by Wood). Notes presented at the Meeting. Proceeedings of the Entomological Society of Washington, 1(1884-1887): 90-91.

Mayr, E. 1942. Systematics and the Origin of Species. Columbia University Press. Cambridge.

Moritz, C., T.E. Dowling, and W.M. Brown. 1987. Evolution of Animal mitochondrial DNA: relevance for population biology and systematics. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.: 18. 269-92.

Polis, G. A. 1990. The Biology of Scorpions. Stanford University Press. Stanford, California

Scherabon, B. 1987. Die Skorpione Österreichs in vergleichender Sicht unter besonderer Berücksichtigung Kärntens. Carinthia II. / Naturwiss. Beiträge zur Heimatkunde Kärntens / Mitteilungen des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins für Kärnten. Klagenfurt, Verlag des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins für Kärnten 45: 78-158.

Sissom, W. D. 1990. Systematics, biogeography and paleontology. In G. A. Polis (ed.), Biology of scorpions, pp. 64-160. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.

Sissom, W. D. & V. Fet. 2000. Family Iuridae. Pp. 409-420 In: Fet, V. et al. Catalog of the Scorpions of the World (1758-1998). New York Entomological Society, New York, 690 pp.

Soleglad, M. E. 1976. The taxonomy of the genus Hadrurus based on chela trichobothria (Scorpionida: Vejovidae). Journal of Arachnology 3: 113-134.

Stahnke, H. L. 1969. A review of Hadrurus scorpions (Vejovidae). Entomological News 80: 57-65.

Stahnke, H. L. 1972. A key to the genera of Buthidae (Scorpionida). Entomological News, 83(5): 121-133.

Stahnke, H. L. 1974. Revision and keys to the higher categories of Vejovidae. Journal of Arachnology, 1(2). 107-141.

Stockwell, S. A. 1992. Systematic observations on North American Scorpionida with a key and checklist of the families and genera. Journal of Medical Entomology, 29(3): 407-422.

Vachon, M. 1962. Remarques sur l'utilisation en systématique, des soies sensorielles (Trichobothries) chez les scorpions du genre Euscorpius Thorell (Chactidae). Bull. Mus. Hist. nat., Paris, série 2, 34 (5): 345-354.

Vachon, M. 1981. Remarques sur la classification sousspécifique des espèces appartenant au genre Euscorpius Thorell, 1876 (Scorpionida, Chactidae). Atti Soc. Tosc. Sci. Nat., Mem., ser. B, 88, suppl. (C.R. Vième Coll. Arachnol. express. franç., Modena-Pisa). 193-203.

Valle, A., M. Τ. Berizzi, M. Bonino, R. Gorio, Ε. Gimmilaro-Negri & Α. Percassi. 1971. Le popolazioni italiane di Euscorpius germanus (C. L. Koch) (Scorpiones, Chactidae). Atti dell'VIII Congresso Nazionale Italiano di Entomologia (Firenze, 4-7 Settembre 1969): 93-96.

Williams, S. C. 1970. A systematic revision of the giant hairy scorpion genus *Hadrurus*. Occasional Papers of the California Academy of Sciences. 87: 1-62.

Williams, S. C. 1980. Scorpions of Baja California, Mexico, and Adjacent islands. Occasional Papers of the California Academy of Sciences. 135: 1-127.

Hadrurus

1.1

Hpin	TTCCTTGGTAAACTATTGCGCCAACCAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGAG
Hcon	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGAG
Hhir	NNNNNNGTAAGCTATTGNGCCANCTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACATGGGAG
Hspa	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGAG
Hob1	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGTG
Hob2	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CNAG
Hob3	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGAG
Hob4	TTTCTTAGTAAGCTACTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGAG
Harl	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGAG
Har2	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGAG
Har3	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGNG
Har4	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGNG
Har5	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CNAG
Har6	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CNAG
Har7	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CNAG
Har8	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-NNAG
Har9	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT-CGAG
Ha10	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT_CCAC
Hall	TTCCTTAGTAAGCTATTGCGCCAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACAT_CGAG
mair	
Hpin	GTCACAAAATTTTTTTAATGATAAGAACTCTTAAAAAAAA
Hcon	GTCACAAAAATTTTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTAAAAAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTACAGT
Hhir	GTCACAAAAATTTGTTGATGANNAGAACTCTTAAAAAAAAAA
Hspa	<u>CTCACAAAAATTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT</u>
Hob1	
Hob2	
Hob3	
Hob4	
Harl	
Har?	
Harz	
nars Nari	
nar4 Nave	
Hars	
Haro	
har/	
Harð	GTUAUAAAATTUTTTGATGATAAGAAUTUTTAAAAGAAATTATGUTGTTATCUUTAUAGT
Har9	GTUAUAAAATTUTTTGATGATAAGAAUTUTTAAAAGAAATTATGUTGTTATCCCTACAGT
Hall	GTUAUAAAATTCTTTGATGATAAGAAUTCTTAAAAGAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTACAGT
Hall	GTCACAAAATTCTTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTAAAAGAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTACAGT
Hpin	AACTTATTCCTCCTTAAAAATTTCCTCGATTCTACAAGACTGGTAAGCCACAATATCAAAG
Hcon	AACTTATTCCTTATTAAAAACTCCTCGATTTTACAAGATTAG-AAATCACAATATAAAAA
Hhir	AACTTATTACTCTTTTAAAATTNNTCGATTTTACAAGNCTAG-AAATCACAATATATANA
Hspa	AACTTATTCCTCCTTAAAAACTTTTCGATTTTACAAGATAAG-AACTCACAATACAAAAA
Hob1	AACTTATTCCTCTTTAAAAACTTTTCGATTTTACAAGATGAG-AACTCACAATACAAAAA
Hob2	AACTTATTCCTCTTTAAAAACTTTTCGATTTTACAAGATGAG-AACTCACAAAAAAAAAA
Hob3	AACTTATTCCTCTTTAAAAACTTTTCGATTTTACAAGATGAG-AACTCACAAAAAAAAAA
Hob4	AACTTATTCCTCTTTAAAAACTTTTCGATTTTACAAGATGAG-AACTCACAAAAAAAAA
Har1	ΑΑCTTΑTTCCTCATTTAAAATTTTTCGATTTTACAAGACTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA

AACTTATTCCTCATTTAAAATTTTTCGATTTTACAAGACTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA Har2 Har3 AACTTATTCCTCATTTAAAAATTTTTCGATTTTACAAGACTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA Har4 AACTTATTCCTCATTTAAAAATTTTTCGATTTTACAAGACTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA Har5 AACTTATTCCTCATTTAAAATTTTTCGATTTTACAAGACTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA Har6 AACTTATTCCTCATTTAAAATTTTTCGATCTTACAAGACTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA AACTTATTCCTCATTTAAAATTTTTCGATTTTACAAGATTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA Har7 Har8 AACTTATTCCTCATTTAAAAATTTTTCGATTTTACAAGACTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA Har9 AACTTATTCCTCATTTAAAAATTTTTCGATTTTACAAGACTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA AACTTATTCCTTATTTAAAATTTTTCGATTTTACAAGACTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA Ha10 Hall AACTTATTCCTGATTTAAAAATTTTTCGNTTTTACAAGACTAG-AACTCACAATATAAAAA Hpin AAAAATTAATTTCCCAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATTTAGTTTCTGATTTGAAGACAGGA-Hcon **TGATATTAACTCATTAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATTTCACCCTTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAG** Hhir Hspa TGATATTAACTCATTAACTGCCCCCAGTTAAACAATTTAATTTCTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAA TGATATTAATTCACTAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATTTAATTTCTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAA Hob1 Hob2 TGATATTAATTCACTAACTGCCCCCAGTTAAACAATTTAATTTCTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAA Hob3 TGATATTAATTCACTAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATTTAATTTCTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAA Hob4 TGATATTAATTCACTAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATTTAATTTCTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAA TGATATTAACTCATCAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATTTGAAAACAAAAA Har1 Har2 Har3 TGACATTAACTCATCAACTGCCCCCAGTTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAA TGACATTAACTCATCAACTGCCCCCAGTTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAA Har4 Har5 TGACATTAACTCATCAACTGCCCCCAGTTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAA TGACATTAACTCATCAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATTTGAAAACAAAAA Нагб Har7 TGACATTAACTCATCAACTGCCCCCAGTTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATTTGAAAACAAAAA Har8 TGATATTAACTCATCAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAA Har9 TGACATTAACTCATCAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATNTGAAAAACAAAAA Ha10 TGACATTAACTCATCAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATTTGAAAAACAAAAA Hall TGACATTAACTCATNAACTGGCCCAGNTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATTTGGAAACAAAAA ACTAATTGTAAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTCGTCCCCAAAAAAATTTTAGCTTTTTACT Hpin Hcon ATTAATTGTAAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTCGTCCCTAAACAAATTTTAGCTTTTTACT Hhir ATNAATTGTAANGCTTGATAGGGTNTTCTNGNCCCCCAAACAAAATTTTAGCTTTTTACT ATCAATTGTGAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTCGTCCCCAAATAAAATTTTAGCTTTTTACT Hspa Hob1 Hob2 Hob3 Hob4 Harl Har2 Har3 Har4 Har5 Har6 Har7 Har8 Har9 Ha10 ATCAATTGTAAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTCGGCCCCAAACNANATTTTAGCCTTTTTACT Hall Hpin AAATTATAAAATTCAAAATATTTAAATAAGACAAAAACATTTAGTGAGACCCTTCATTCC AAATGATAAAATTCAAAAATATTTAAATAAGACAGAAATATTCAGTAAAAACCCTTCATTCC Hcon AAATGATAAAATTCAAAATATTTAAATAAGACAGAAATATTCAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC Hhir AAATGATAAAATTCAAAAATATTTAAGTAAGACAAAAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC Hspa AAATGATAAAATTCAAAATATTTAAGTAAGACAAAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC Hob1 AAATGATAAAATTCAAAATATTTAAGTAAGACAAAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC Hob2

Hob3	AAATGATAAAATTCAAAAATATTTAAGTAAGACAAAAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Hob4	AAATGATAAAATTCAAAATATTTAAGTAAGACAAAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Har1	AAACAATAAAATTCAAAATATTTAAGTAAGACAGAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Har2	AAACAATAAAATTCAAAATGTTTAAATAAGACAGAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Har3	AAACAATAAAATTCAAAATGTTTAAATAAGACAGAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Har4	AAACAATAAAATTCAAAATGTTTAAATAAGACAGAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Har5	AAACAATAAAATTCAAAATGTTTAAATAAGACAGAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Har6	AAACAATAAAATTCAAAATGTTTAAATAAGACAGAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Har7	AAACAATAAAATTCAAAATGTTTAAATAAGACAGAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Har8	AAACAATAAAATTCAAAATATTTAAGTAAGACAGAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Har9	AAACAATAAAATTCAAAATGTTTAAATAAGACAGAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Hal0	AAACAATAAAATTCAAAATGTTTAAATAAGACAGAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Hall	NAACAATNAAATTCANAATGTTTAAATAAGACAGANATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCC
Hpin	AGCCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Hcon	AGTCCCAAATTAAGAGACTA
Hhir	AGTCCCAAATT
Hspa	AGTCCCAAATTAAAAGACTA
Hob1	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Hob2	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Hob3	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Hob4	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Harl	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Har2	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Har3	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Har4	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Har5	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Har6	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Har7	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Har8	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Har9	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Hal0	AGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
Hall	AGNCCTAAATTAANAGACTA

Anuroctonus

Hari	T?GAACAGA-TTCCTTAGT-AAGCTATTGCGC
AnJT	???????????CT?ATT-?TACTTTTGCAT
AnRV	T?TAACA?ACTTCCTTATT-TTACTTTTGCAT
AnMo	TCGAACAGACTTCCTTGTT-TTACTTTTACAC
AnUt	NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNCTNNNGCC
AnCH	AGTCCCCCGACTTCTTATT-TTACTTTTGCAT
AnPM	AAACCCCNGACTTTTTATT-TTACTTNN-CAT

Hari	CAACTAGGACTTTTAATCCAACATC-GAGGTCACAAAATTCTTTGATGATA
AnJT	AAATTAGGGATCTTAATCCAACATC-GAGGTCACAAACTTTTTGATGATA
AnRV	AAATTAGGAATCTTAATCCAACATC-GAGGTCACAAACTTTTTTGATGATA
AnMo	AAGTTAGGTTTCTTAATCCAACATC-GAGGTCACAAACTTTTTTGATGATA
AnUt	ANACTAGGNTTCTTAATCCAACATC-GAGGTCACAAACTTTTTTGATGATA
AnCH	AAATTAGGAATCTTAATCCAACATC-GAGGTCACAAACTTTTTTGATGATA
AnPM	AAATTAGGGATCTTAATCCAACATC-GAGGTCACAAACTTTTTTGATGATA

Hari	GATATTAACTCATCAACTGCCCCAGTTAAACAATCTTATTCTTGATTTGA
AnJT	AAAAACTTTTTGTTTGCTGCCCCAGCAGAATAATTTTTTTATTAGGTTG-
AnRV	AAAAAATTTTTGTTTGCTGCCCCAGCA?AATAACTTTTTTATTAGGTTG-
AnMo	AAAAAGTTTTTGTTTGCTGCCCCAGCAAAATAATTTTTTTTATTAGATTG-
AnUt	AAAAACTTTTTGTCTGCTGCCCCAGCAAAATAATTTTTTTACTAGGTTG-
AnCH	AAAAAATTTTTGTTTGCTGCCCCAGCAGAATAATTTTTTTTATTAGGTTG-
AnPM	AAAAAGTTTTTGTTTGCTGCCCCAGCAAAATAATTTTTTTTATTAGATTG-

Hari	AAACAAAAAATCAATTGTAAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTCGTCCCCAAACA
AnJT	TAGTTAATTTAAAATTATGAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTTTAAAGT
AnRV	TAGTTAATTAAAAATTATGAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTTTAAAGT
AnMo	TAGTTAATTTAAAATTATAAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTTTAAAGA
AnUt	TAGTTAGTTTAAAATTATAAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTTTAAAGT
AnCH	TAGTTAATTAAAAATTATGAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTTTAAAGT
AnPM	TAGTTAATTAGAAATTATAAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTTTAAAGT

Hari	-AAATCTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAACAATAAAATTCAAAAATATTTAAGTAAG
AnJT	-GAATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAATTTCAAAAATATTATTATGAGA
AnRV	-GAATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAATTTCAAAAATATTACTATGAGA
AnMo	-GTATTTTAGATTTTTACTAAAATATAAATTTCAAAAATATTATCATGAGA
AnUt	-GTATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAATTTCAAAATATTATCATGAGA
AnCH	-GAATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAATTTCAAAATATTACTATGAGA
AnPM	-GAATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAATTTCAAAATATTATTATGAGA
Hari	CA-GAAATATTTAGTGAAACCCTTCATTCCAGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA
AnJT	CA-TTTTTAATAAGTCAGACCTTTCATTCCAGTCCTAAATTAAAGGGCTA

AnRV CA-TTTTTAATAAGTTAAACCTTTCATTCCAGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA AnMo CA-TTTTTAGTAAGTTAAACCTTTCATTCCAGTCTCAAATTAAAAGACTA AnUt CA-TTTTTAGTAAGTTAAACCTTTCATTCCAGTCTCAAATTAAAAGACTA AnCH CA-TTTTTAATAAGTTAAACCTTTCATTCCAGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA AnPM CA-TTTTTAATAAGTCAAACCTTTCATTCCAGTCCTAAATTAAAAGACTA

Euscorpius

Ealfo cttccttattttattattgcatgaaataggtatcttaatccaacatcgaggtcacaaact Ealgo cttccttattttattattgcatgaaataggtatcttaatccaacatcgaggtcacaaact Ealsp cttccttattttattattgcatgaaataggtatcttaatccaacatcgaggtcacaaact Ealsc cttccttattttattattgcatgaaataggtatcttaatccaacatcgaggtcacaaact EalRA CTTCCTTATTTTTTTTTTGCATGAAATAGGTA-CTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EalCA CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EalOL CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT Ealso cttccttattttattattgcatgaaataggtatcttaatccaacatcgnggtcacaaact Ealta cttccttattttattgcatgaaataggtatcttaatccaacatcgaggtcacaaact EalML CTTCCTTATTTTTTTTTTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EalMA CTTCCTTATTTTTTTTTTTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeOB CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeDE CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeST CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeBO CTTCCTTATTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeSM CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeVE CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeSH CTTCCTTATTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeVO CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeME CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EqeKR CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeTZ CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeCR CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTaCATgAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EgeBE CTTCCTTGTTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT EqaKO CTTCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGTATCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT Eflla CTCCCTTATTTTATTATTGCATGAAATAGGGCTCTTAATCCAACATCGAGGTCACAAACT Ealfo TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTGTTCT Ealgo TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTGTTCT Ealsp ttcttgatgataagaactctttaagaaaattatgctgttatccctatagtaacttgttct Ealsc TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTGTTCT EalRA TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTGTTCT EalCA TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTGTTCT EalOL TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTGTTCT Ealso TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTGTTCT EalTA TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EalML TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EalMA TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EqeOB TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EqeDE TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EgeST TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT Egebo TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTTAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EgeSM TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EqeVE TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EqeSH TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCT EqeVO TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EgeME TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EqeKR TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT Egetz TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EqeCR TTCTTGATGATAAGGACTCTTGAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EgeBE TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTAAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT EGAKO TTCTTGATGATAAGAACTCTTAAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTATAGTAACTTATTCT Eflla TTCTTGATGATAAGGACTCTTAAAGAAAATTATGCTGTTATCCCTACAGTAACTTGTTCC

Ealfo TTTTTAAAAAACTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATCCTCACATTATTAAAAATAAAATTTTA Ealgo TTTTTAAAAAACTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATCCTCACATTATTAAAAATAAAATTTTA

EalSP	ΤΤΤΤΤΑΑΑΑΑCΤΤΤΤΤGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATTCTCATATTATTAAAATAAAA
EalSC	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATTCTCATATTATTAAAATAAAATTTTA
EalRA	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATTCTCATATTATTAAAATAAAATTTTA
EalCA	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATTCTCATATTATTAAAATAAAATTTTA
EalOL	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATTCTCATATTATTAAAATAAAATTTTA
EalSO	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATTCTCATATTATTAAAATAAAATTTTA
EalTA	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATTCTCATATTATTAAAAATAAAATTTTA
EalML	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATTCTCACATTATTAAAAATAAAATTTTA
EalMA	TTTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAGTTATTCTCACATTATTAAAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeOB	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTACTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeDE	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTACTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeST	TTTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTACTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeBO	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTACTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeSM	TTTTTAAAAATTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTATTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeVE	TTTTTAAAAATTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTATTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeSH	TTTTTAAAAATTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTATTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeVO	TTTTTAAAAATTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTATTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeME	TTTTTAAAAATTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTATTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeKR	TTTTTAAAAATTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTATTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeTZ	TTTTTAAAAATTTTTTGATTGTTCAAGAGTTATTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeCR	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGATTGGTCAAGAGTTAATCCCACGTTATTAAAATAAAATTTTA
EgeBE	TTTTTAAAAACTTTTTGATTATTCAAGAGTTATTCCCACGTTATTGAAATAAAATTTTA
EgaKO	TGTTTAAGAATTTTTTGGTTTTTCAAGAATTAAATTCACATTATAAAAATAAAATTTTA
EflLA	TTCTTAAGAAATTTTTGATTTTTCAAGAGTTGTACTCACATTATATAAAATAAAATTTTA

EalFO TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAAA Ealgo TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAAA EalSP TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAAA Ealsc TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAAA EalRA TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EalCA TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EalOL TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTATA EalSO TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EalTA TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAAA EalML TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAAA EalMA TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAAA EgeOB TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAAA EgeDE TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EgeST TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EgeBO TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EgeSM TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EgeVE TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EgeSH TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EgeVO TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EgeME TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EgeKR TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EegTZ TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EgeCR TTTATCTACTGCCCCAGTAAA EgeBE TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAGA EgaKO TTTATCTACTGCCCCAGTAAA Eflla TTTATTTACTGCCCCAGTAAA

EalFO	ATAATTTGTGGTTTATATTGA-TGTTAAATTAGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT
EalGO	ATAATTTGTGGTTTATATTGA-TGTTAAATTAGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT
EalSP	ATAATTTGTGGTTTATATTGA-TGTTAAATTGGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT

Ealsc ATAATTTGTGGTTTATATTGA-TGTTAAATTGGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT Ealra ATAATTTGTGATTTATATTGA-TGTTAAATTGGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EalCA ATAATTTGTGATTTATATTGA-TGTTAAATTAGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT Ealol ATAATTTGTGATTTATATTGA-TGTTAAATTGGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT Ealso ATAATTTGTGATTTATATTGA-TGTTAAATTGGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT Ealta ATAATTTGTAATTTATATTGA-TGTTAAGTTGGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EalML ATAATTTATGATTTATATTGA-TGTTAAATTGGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EalMA ATAATTTATGATTTATATTGA-TGTTAAATTGGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeOB ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT Egede ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeST ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeBO ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeSM ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeVE ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeSH ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeVO ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeME ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeKR ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeTZ ATAATTTTTAGTTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgeCR ATAATTTTTAATTTATATTGA-TATTAAACTAAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT Egebe ATAATTTTTGATTTATGTTGA-TATTAAATTGAGATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT EgaKO ATAATTTTTAATTTATATTGA-TATTAAATTGGAATTATAAAGCTTAATAGGGTCTTCTT Eflla ATAATTTTTTAACTATGTTGATGTTAGATTTTTAATTATAAAGCTTGATAGGGTCTTCTT

Ealfo GTCTTTAAAATAAATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAATGTAAAATTTGAAG Ealgo GTCTTTAAAATAAATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAATGTAAAATTTGAAG Ealsp gtctttaaagtaaattttagcctttttactaaaatgtaaaatttgaag Ealsc gtctttaaagtaaattttagcctttttactaaaatgtaaaatttgaag Ealra GTCTTTAAAGTAAATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAGAATGTAAAATTTGAAG EalCA GTCTTTAAAGTAAATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAGAATGTAAAATTTGAAG EalOL GTCTTTAAAGTAAATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAGAATGTAAAATTTGAAG Ealso GTCTTTAAAGTAAATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAGAATGTAAAATTTGAAG Ealta GTCTTTAAAGTGAATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAATGTAAAATTTGAAG EalML GTCTTTAAAGTGAATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAATGTAAAATTTGAAG EalMA GTCTTTAAAGTGAATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAATGTAAAATTTGAAG EqeOB GTCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG Egede GTCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG EgeST GTCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG Eqebo GTCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG EqeSM GTCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG EqeVE GTCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG EqeSH GTCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG EgeVO GTCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG EGEME GTCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG EgekR -TCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG EeqTZ GTCTTTAAAATGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG EqeCR GTCTTTAAAGTGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG Egebe GTCTTTAAAGTGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAGTTTGAAG EqaKO GTCTTTAAAGTGGATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG Eflla GTCTTTAATAAGAATTTTAGCTTTTTTACTAAAATATAAAATTTGAAG

Ealsp TATAATAATAAGACAAGATTATTTAGTTAAACCATTCCNGNCCTAAGTTNCNNN EalML TATAATAATAAGACAGGATTATTTAGTTAAACCATTCCAGTCCTAAATTACAAN EalMA TATAATAATAAGACAGGATTATTTAGTTAAACCATTCCAGTCCTAAATTACAAG EgeST EgeBO EgeVE TATAATAATAAGACATGATTATTTAGTTAAACCATTCCAGTCCTAAAATTACAAG EgeSH TATAATAATAAGACATGATTATTTAGTTAAACCATTCCAGTCCTAAATTACAAG EgeVO EgeME EgaKO TATAATAATAAGACATGATTATTTAGTTAAACCATTCCAGTCCTAAATTACAAG

Centruroides

CeBA CGAACAGA-CTCCTTTCATTCCTCTTGCGGAATGAAGGAAATTTAATCCA Cesi GCGCACCCCCCCCTTTCATTCCTCTTGCGGAATGGAGGAAATTTAATCCA CeJU TCGAACAGACTCCTTCCATTCCTCTTGCGGAATGGAGGAAATTTAATCCA CeLP NNNNNNNNNNNNNTCCCCTCCTCTTGCGGAGTGGAGGAAACTTAATCCA CeBC CGA-CAGA-CCCCCCCCCCTCCTTGCGGAATGGCGGAAATCTAATCCA Cetu NNNNNCCNNCCCCTCCCTTCCTCTGCGGAATGGAGGAAATCTAATCCA CETE NNNNNNNNNNNNNCCCCTCCTCTTGCGGAGTGGAGGAAATCTAATCCA CEYU CGAACAGACCCCCTCCCATTCCTCTTGCGGAATGGAGGAAATCTAATCCA Cepp CGAACAGACCCCCTTCCCTTCCTCTTGCGGAATGGAGGAAACCTAATCCA CeGU CGAACAGACCCCCTACCACTCCTCTTGCGGGGTGGAGGAAATCTAATCCA CVAR NNNNNNNCTTCCTATTATTACTCTTGCGTAATACAGGGAATTTAATCCA Cban NNNNNNNCCCCTATTAATACTCTTGCATAATAAAGGAAAACTAATCCA CeBA ACATCGAGGTCGCAAACATACTTGTCGATTTGAGCTTTCGAAGTATATTA Cesi Acatcgaggtcgcaaacatacttgtcgattcgagctttcaaagtatatta CeJU ACATCGAGGTCGCAAACATACTTGTCGATTTGAGCTTTCAAAGTATATTA Celp ACATCGAGGTCGCAAACATATTTGTCAATTTGGACTTTCAAAATATATTA CeBC ACATCGAGGTCGCAAACATGTTTGTCAATTTGAGCTTTCAAAACATATTA CETU ACATCGAGGTCGCAAACGTGTTTGTCAATTTGAGCTTTCAAAACACATTA CETE ACATCGAGGTCGCAAACATGTTTGTCAATTTGAGCTTTCAAAACACATTA CeYU ACATCGAGGTCGCAAACATATTTGTCAATTTGAGCTTTCAAAACACATTA CEPP ACATCGAGGTCGCAAACATGTTTGTCAATTTGAGCTTTCAAAACACATTA CeGU ACATCGAGGTCGCAAACATGTTTGTCAATTTGAGCTTTCAAAACACATTA CVAR ACATCGAGGTCACAAACACGTTTATCAATTTGAACTTTCAAAACATATCA Cban ACATCGAGGTCGCAAACACATTTGTCAATTTGAACTTTCAAAATATATTA CeBA CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAAACTTCAAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CeSI CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAAGCTTCAAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CeJU CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAAGTTTCAAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CeCA CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAACTTTCAAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CeBC CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAACTTTCAAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CeTU CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAACTTTCAAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CeTE CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAACTTTCAAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CeYU CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAACTTTCAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CePP CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAACTTTCAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CeGU CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAACTTTCAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CeGU CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAACTTTCAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CeGU CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAACTTTCAAAAATTTTGGGTATT CuAR CGCTGTTATCCCTAAAGTAACTTATTTAACTTTCAAAAATTTTGGGTATT

CeBA AAGA-TAATGTTATCC-TCATGCTCTAAAAGTGTTTT-TCTTTCTACCGC CeSI AAGA-TGATGTTATCC-TTATACTCTAAAAGTGTTTT-TCTTTCTACCGC CeJU AAAA-TAATGTTATCT-TTATACTCTAAAAGTGTTTT-TCTTTCTACCGC CeCA AAAAATAATGTCATTT-TTATATTTTGAAAGTGTTTT-CTTCTTACCGC CeBC AAAAATAATGTCATTT-ATATATTTTAAAAGTGTTTT-CTTCTTACCGC CeTU AAAA-TAATGTCATTT-ATATATTTTAAAAGTGTTTT-TCTTCCTACCGC CeTE AAAA-TAATGTCATTT-ATATATTTTTAAAAGTGTTTT-TCTTCTTACCGC CeYU AAAA-TAATGTCATTT-ATATATTTTTAAAAGTGTTTT-TCTTCTTACCGC CePP AAAA-TAATGTCATTT-ATATATTTTTAAAAGTGTTTT-TCTTCTTACCGC CeGU AAAATAATGTCATTT-ATATATTTTTAAAAGTGTTTT-TCTTCTTACCGC CvAR AAAATAATGTCATTT-ATATATTTTAAAAGTGTTTT-TCTTCTTCTGCCGC Cban AAAACTATCCTATTTATAATATTTAAAAGTGTTTT-TCTTCTTCTTACCGC

CeBA CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTTAAATTTATTAAATTATTTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeSI CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTTCCAATTTATTAAATTATTTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeJU CCCAGTAAAACATATTTCTAATTTGTTAAATTATTTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeCA CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTCAATCTGTTAAATTATTTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeBC CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTTAAATTTATTATATTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeTU CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTTTAAATTTATTAAATTATTTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeTE CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTTTAAATTTATTAAATTATTTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeYU CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTTTAAATTATTTATAGTAAAGCTTTA CePP CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTTTAAATTATTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeGU CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTTTAAATTATTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeGU CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTTAAATTATTTATATTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeAGTAAAACATATTTTTAAATTATTTATATTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CeGU CCCAGTAAAACATATTTTTAAATTATTTATGTAAAGCTTTA CoCAGTAAAACATATTTTTAAATTATTTATATTTATGTAAAGCTTTA

CeBA TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeSI TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGGAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAGGTA CeJU TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAGGTA CeCA TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeBC TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeTU TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeTE TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeYU TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeYU TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeYU TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeYU TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeYU TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeAT TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CeGU TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAACATTTTAGCCTTTTTACTAAAAAGTA CvAR TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAGAACATTTTAGCCTTCTTACTAAAAAGTG Cban TAGGGTCTTCTTGTCTAAAAGAAGAGACATTTTAGCCTTCTTACTAAAAAGTA

CeBA AAATTCAAAAGAAAAAGTTAAGAAAGAAACTCTTCAGTTTATCCCTTCAT CeSI AAGTTCAAAAGAAAAAGTTAAGAAAGAAACTCTTCAGTTTATCCCTTCAT CeJU AAGTTCAAAAGAAAAAGTTAAGAAAGAAACTCTTCAGTTTATCCCTTCAT CeCA AAGTTCAAAAGAAAGAGCCAAGAAAGAAACTCTCTAGTTTATCCTTTCAT CeBC AATTTCAAAAGAAAAAGCCAAGAAAGAAACTTTCTAGTTTATCCCTTCAT Cetu AATTTCAAAAGAAAAAGCTAAGAAAGAAACTTTCTAGTTTATCCCTTCAT CETE AATTTCAAAAGAAAAAGTCAAGAAAGAAACTTTCTAGTTTATCCTTTCAT CeYU AATTTCAAAAGAAAAAGCCAAGAAAGAAACTTTCTAGTTTATCCCCTTCAT CePP AATTTCAAAAGAAAAAGCCAAGAAAGAAACTTTCTAGTCTATCCTTTCAT Cegu AATTTCAAAAGAAAAAGTCAAGAAAGAAACTTTCTAGTTTATTCCTTCAT CVAR AAATTTGAAATTAATAGTTAAGAAAGAAATTTTCTAGTTTACCCTTTCAT Cban AAGTTAAAAAAGTAAAATTAAAAAAGAGCTTTTCCAGTCTATCCTTTCAT CeBA TCCAGTCTTAAATTACAAGACTA CeSI TCCAGTCTTAAATTACAAGACTA CeJU TCCAGTCTTAAATTACAAGACTA CeCA TCCAGTCTTAAATTACAAGACTA CeBC TCCAGTCTTAAATTATAAGACTA Cetu TCCAGTCTTAAATTACAAGACTA CeTE TCCAGTCTTAAATTACAAGACTA CeYU TCCAGTCTTAAATTACAAGACTA CePP TCCAGTCTTAAATTACAAGACTA CeGU TCCAGTCTTAAATTACAAGACTA CVAR TCCAGTCTTAAATTACAAGACTA

Cban TCCAGTCTTCAATTATAAGACTA