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ACADEMIC PLANNING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

SR-05-06-(24) 69-126 APC

Recommends that the attached revision of the “Evaluator’s Recommendation” language in the Program Review Evaluator’s Check Sheet be approved for use.

RATIONALE:

The Academic Planning Committee and the Graduate Council have worked together to clarify the meanings and language of the ‘program review recommendations’. The document has been approved by both the Academic Planning Committee and the Graduate Council.

FACULTY SENATE CHAIR:

APPROVED BY THE

DISAPPROVED BY THE
FACULTY SENATE: __________________________ DATE: __________

UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT:

APPROVED: [Signature] DATE: 5/10/06

DISAPPROVED: __________________________ DATE: __________

COMMENTS:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Program Review
Evaluator's Check Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Evaluated</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Evaluator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. Program Review Issues: (Please indicate specific concerns about the program review document and indicate R for recommended or M for mandatory changes.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R or M</th>
<th>Comments (Be specific)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Editing/Style Changes: (Please be specific about the changes, including page numbers for each change. Also, please indicate R for recommended/M for mandatory.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page No.</th>
<th>R or M</th>
<th>Comments (Be specific)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. Evaluator's Recommendation: (Please check one.)

- [ ] Continuation of program at the current level of activity.
- [ ] Continuation of program with corrective action: Progress report due by November 1 next academic year. (Program deficiencies that need to be corrected and issues addressed should be outlined)
- [ ] Identification of the program for resource development: Progress report due by November 1 next academic year. (Program issues to be addressed should be outlined)
- [ ] Continuation of the program at the current level of activity, with the designation as a program of excellence.*
- [ ] Discontinuation of the program

Note:

Corrective Action will apply to programs that have deficiencies that the program itself can address and correct.

Resource Development will apply to already viable programs that require additional resources from the Administration to help achieve their full potential. This designation is considered an investment in a viable program as opposed to addressing issues of a weak program.

*All such designations must include the special documentation required to document a program of excellence (see criteria).

Adopted, Academic Planning Committee, 4/23/03; Amended, 11/21/03; 03/07/06
Program Review – Evaluator’s Check Sheet continued

Program Evaluated:

Additional Comments